#### Besides by the endings, how do I know when something is NOMINATIVE?

- 1) Is est or a form of est (erat, sunt, etc) in the sentence? Remember, est (and forms of est) take the twin noms!
- 2) It agrees with the verb (both are singular or plural).
- 3) It's the first word in the sentence and definitely NOT accusative. Confirm with an ending check.
- 4) The next word is definitely accusative, thus it must be nominative. (Confirm with an ending check.)

F	low do I know?
e	Using My
г	Noun Chart

NOUN ENDING CHART

# Besides by the endings, how do I know when something is GENITIVE?

- 1) Is it piggy-backing on a prepositional phrase?
- 2) Is it nested inside a noun/participle or noun/adjective phrase?
- 3) Is it describing another noun, like vir *magnae virtūtis* (a man of great courage)?
- 4) Is it a partitive genitive and following an "amount", like *plūs vīnī* or *nimium vīnī*?

# Besides by the endings, how do I know when something is in the ABLATIVE?

 It is following a Sid Space Ablative Astronaut preposition:

Sine - without

In - in/on

**Dē** − about/down from

Sub – under

 $\mathbf{Pr\bar{o}}$  – in front of

 $\mathbf{Ab}$  or  $\mathbf{\bar{a}}$  - (away) from

Cum - with

Ex or  $\bar{e}$  – from, out of

- 2) There's a perfect passive participle in the sentence and it is part of the "by" phrase nested between the noun and the participle (ablative of agent ā/ab or ablative of means).
- There's a time word and an ordinal number. Confirm with an ending check. Translate with "in" or "at" (Abl Time When)
- 4) There's a passive verb of any tense and it is part of the "by" phrase (ablative of agent **ā/ab** or ablative of means).

chung check.)		110211211211						
	CASES singular	1 <sup>st</sup> Fem.	2 <sup>nd</sup> Masc.	2 <sup>nd</sup> Neut.	3 <sup>rd</sup> M/F	3 <sup>rd</sup> Neut.	4 <sup>th</sup> M/F	5 <sup>th</sup> M/F
	NOM "the"	-a	-us	-um	_*	_*	-us	-ēs
	GEN "of"	-ae	-ī	-ī	-is	-is	-ūs	-ēī
	DAT "to/for"	-ae	-ō	-ō	-ī	-ī	-uī	-ēī
	ACC "s.o.vb'ed"	-am	-um	-um	-em	_*	-um	-ēm
	ABL "by/with"	ja  -	-ō	-ō	-е	-е	-ū	ē
	plural							
	NOM "the"	-ae	-ī	-a	-ēs	-a	-ūs	-ēs
	GEN "of"	-ārum	-ōrum	-ōrum	-um	-មួញ	-uum	-ērum
	DAT "to/for"	-īs	-īs	-īs	-ibus	-ibus	-ibus	-ēbus
	ACC "s.o.vb 'ed"	-ās	-ōs	-a	-ēs	-a	-ūs	-ēs_
	ABL "by/with"	-īs	-1S	-īs	-ibus	-ibus	-ibus	-ēbus

#### Besides by the endings, how do I know I have an ACCUSATIVE?

- 1) In a sentence following NOM + ACC + Verb pattern, it is most likely the 2nd word. Confirm with an ending check.
- 2) In a sentence following NOM + DAT + ACC + Verb pattern, where the verb is a giving or showing verb, it is probably the 3rd word. Confirm with an ending check.
- 3) If the subject is understood from the previous sentence (thus no nominative), the first word may well be the accusative. Confirm with an ending check.
- 4) If you are trying to distinguish between a nominative and an accusative, if you clearly have a subject/nominative already, then it is the accusative, and vice versa.
- 5) It's the object of one of the following prepositions: **ad** to, **in** (+acc) into, **prope** – near, **inter** – between/among, **per** – through, **post** – after/behind, **extrā** – outside, **trāns** – across
- 6) There's a <u>present active or perfect active participle</u> nearby and it is nested between the noun and participle, acting as the object of the participle.
- 7) There's a time word (hōra, mēnsis, annus, etc) and a cardinal (counting) number with it. Confirm with an ending check and translate with "for". (accusative duration of time)

### Besides by the endings, how do I know when something is DATIVE?

- Is there a giving, showing, or offering verb in the sentence? (dat/dedit, trādit/trādidit, ostendit, dēmonstrat, offert/obtulit, etc.)
- 2) If yes, to #1, is it possibly following the sentence pattern of (NOM +) DAT + ACC + VERB?
- 3) Is it a verb that takes a dative object? (crēdit, favet, placet, respondet, resistit, appropinquat, praeficit, paret, imperat, etc)
- 4) Does the sentence start with one of the following:

  necesse est + DAT + inf.

  difficile est + DAT + inf.

  facile est + DAT + inf.

  commodum est + DAT + inf.

  decorum est + DAT + inf.

  melius est + DAT + inf.
- 5) Is there a passive periphrasic (gerundive) phrase nearby? Then it's probably a dative of agent.