

# Notes on Differential Equations and Differential Inequalities

Adam Coffman, Yifei Pan, and Yuan Zhang\*

## 1 Real autonomous ODE

The following Lemma gives conditions for the existence of a solution of a differential equation which is bounded on the domain  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Given real numbers  $a < b$ , if  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous, nonvanishing function, and there are some constants  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 > 0$ ,  $\delta_1 \in (0, b - a)$ ,  $\delta_2 \in (0, b - a)$  so that  $|f(t)| \leq C_1(t - a)$  for  $a < t < a + \delta_1$  and  $|f(t)| \leq C_2(b - t)$  for  $b - \delta_2 < t < b$ , then there exists a one-to-one, onto function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (a, b)$  so that  $y = g(t)$  is a solution of the equation  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$ .*

*Proof.*  $\frac{1}{f(x)}$  is continuous on  $(a, b)$ , so the function

$$G(t) = \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^t \frac{1}{f(x)} dx \tag{1}$$

is differentiable on  $(a, b)$  with a nonvanishing, nonzero derivative,  $\frac{d}{dt}G(t) = \frac{1}{f(t)}$ . Because  $f$  and  $\frac{1}{f}$  have constant sign,  $G(t)$  is monotone on  $(a, b)$ . Suppose  $f(t) > 0$ , so  $G$  is increasing; the  $f(t) < 0$  case is similar.

For  $t \in (b - \delta_2, b)$ ,

$$G(t) = \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b-\delta_2} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx + \int_{b-\delta_2}^t \frac{1}{f(x)} dx \geq \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b-\delta_2} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx + \int_{b-\delta_2}^t \frac{1}{C_2(b-x)} dx,$$

---

\*Supported in part by National Science Foundation DMS-1265330.

which is unbounded. Similarly,  $G$  is also unbounded at the other endpoint, so  $G : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is onto and invertible. Let  $T$  be any constant, and define

$$g(t) = G^{-1}(t + T), \quad (2)$$

so  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (a, b)$  is onto and increasing, and (by the Inverse Function Theorem, [C]),  $y = g(t)$  is differentiable with

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{1}{G'(G^{-1}(t + T))} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{f(y)}} = f(y).$$

■

Such solutions with domain  $\mathbb{R}$  are unique up to translation.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Given an open (possibly infinite) interval  $I$ , if  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous, nonvanishing function, and  $g_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I$  and  $g_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I$  are solutions of the equation  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$ , then there exists a constant  $T$  so that  $g_2(t) = g_1(t + T)$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $g_1'(x) = f(g_1(x))$  is continuous and nonzero, the Inverse Function Theorem applies. For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (g_1^{-1}(g_2(t)) - t) &= \frac{1}{g_1'(g_1^{-1}(g_2(t)))} g_2'(t) - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{f(g_1(g_1^{-1}(g_2(t))))} f(g_2(t)) - 1 \equiv 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

■

There is also a local uniqueness theorem for solutions on an interval, with one initial condition.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Given open (possibly infinite) intervals  $I_0, I_1, I_2$ , if  $f : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous, nonvanishing function, and  $g_1 : I_1 \rightarrow I_0$  and  $g_2 : I_2 \rightarrow I_0$  are solutions of the equation  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$ , and there is a point  $c \in I_1 \cap I_2$  such that  $g_1(c) = g_2(c)$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that  $g_1(t) = g_2(t)$  for all  $t \in (c - \delta, c + \delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $g_1'(x) = f(g_1(x))$  is continuous and nonzero, the Inverse Function Theorem applies: there exists some  $\delta_1 > 0$  so that  $g_1$  is one-to-one on  $(c - \delta_1, c + \delta_1)$ . Suppose  $f > 0$ , so  $g_1$  is increasing; the  $f < 0$  case is similar. Let  $\varepsilon = \min\{g_1(c + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1) - g_1(c), g_1(c) - g_1(c - \frac{1}{2}\delta_1)\} > 0$ . Because  $g_2$  is continuous, there is some  $\delta_2 > 0$  corresponding to  $\varepsilon$ , so that for all  $t \in (c - \delta_2, c + \delta_2)$ ,  $|g_2(t) - g_2(c)| = |g_2(t) - g_1(c)| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} > 0$ , then  $(c - \delta, c + \delta) \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$ , where both  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are defined. Also, for any  $t \in (c - \delta, c + \delta)$ ,

$$g_1(c - \frac{1}{2}\delta_1) \leq g_1(c) - \varepsilon < g_2(t) < g_1(c) + \varepsilon \leq g_1(c + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1),$$

and by the Intermediate Value Theorem, there is some  $x \in (c - \frac{1}{2}\delta_1, c + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1)$  so that  $g_1(x) = g_2(t)$ ; this shows that  $x = g_1^{-1}(g_2(t))$ , so  $g_2(t)$  is in the domain of  $g_1^{-1}$ . As in (3), for  $c - \delta < t < c + \delta$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(g_1^{-1}(g_2(t))) \equiv 1 \implies g_1^{-1}(g_2(t)) = t + T$$

for some constant  $T$ . Evaluating  $g_2(t) = g_1(t + T)$  at  $t = c$  gives  $g_2(c) = g_1(c + T)$ , and  $g_2(c) = g_1(c)$  by hypothesis, so  $c + T = c$  because  $g_1$  is one-to-one. It follows that  $T = 0$  and  $g_1(t) = g_2(t)$  for all  $t \in (c - \delta, c + \delta)$ . ■

**Lemma 1.4.** *If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous, nonvanishing function, then there exist some open interval  $I$  and a one-to-one, onto function  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that  $y = g(t)$  is a solution of the equation  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$ .*

*Proof.*  $\frac{1}{f(x)}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , so the function

$$G(t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{f(x)} dx$$

is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$  with a nonvanishing, nonzero derivative,  $\frac{d}{dt}G(t) = \frac{1}{f(t)}$ . Because  $f$  and  $\frac{1}{f}$  have constant sign,  $G(t)$  is monotone on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Its image is some open interval  $I$ , so  $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I$  is invertible.

Let  $T$  be any constant, and define  $g(t) = G^{-1}(t + T)$  on the interval  $I - T = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x + T \in I\}$ , so  $g : I - T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is invertible, and therefore not bounded.  $g$  is a solution of the ODE as in Lemma 1.1.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 1.5.** *Given  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $f : (-\infty, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous, nonvanishing function, and there are some constants  $C_3 > 0$ ,  $\delta_3 \in (0, 1)$  so that  $|f(t)| \leq C_3(b - t)$  for  $b - \delta_3 < t < b$ , then there exist an open interval  $I$  and a one-to-one, onto function  $g : I \rightarrow (-\infty, b)$  so that  $y = g(t)$  is a solution of the equation  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$ .*

*Proof.*  $\frac{1}{f(x)}$  is continuous on  $(-\infty, b)$ , so the function

$$G(t) = \int_{b-1}^t \frac{1}{f(x)} dx$$

is differentiable on  $(-\infty, b)$  with a nonvanishing, nonzero derivative,  $\frac{d}{dt}G(t) = \frac{1}{f(t)}$ . Because  $f$  and  $\frac{1}{f}$  have constant sign,  $G(t)$  is monotone on  $(-\infty, b)$ . Suppose  $f(t) > 0$ , so  $G$  is increasing; the  $f(t) < 0$  case is similar.

For  $t \in (b - \delta_3, b)$ ,

$$G(t) = \int_{b-1}^{b-\delta_3} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx + \int_{b-\delta_3}^t \frac{1}{f(x)} dx \geq \int_{b-1}^{b-\delta_3} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx + \int_{b-\delta_3}^t \frac{1}{C_3(b-x)} dx,$$

which is unbounded. So, the image of  $G$  is either  $I = (L, \infty)$  or  $I = \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $T$  be any constant, and define  $g(t) = G^{-1}(t + T)$  on the interval  $I - T = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x + T \in I\}$ , so  $g : I - T \rightarrow (-\infty, b)$  is invertible, and therefore not bounded.  $g$  is a solution of the ODE as in Lemma 1.1.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real analytic function. The following are equivalent:*

1. *there exists a non-constant, bounded, real analytic function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that  $y = g(t)$  is a solution of the equation  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$ ;*
2. *there are at least two distinct points  $a_0, b_0$  where  $f(a_0) = f(b_0) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* At any zero of  $f$ , say  $c_0$ , the real analyticity implies there is some constant  $C_0$  so that  $|f(t)| \leq C_0|x - c_0|$  for  $x$  near  $c_0$ .

To show 2.  $\implies$  1., the property that  $f$  is real analytic on  $\mathbb{R}$  implies that  $a_0$  is an isolated zero, and because  $a_0$  is not the only zero of  $f$ , there is some open interval  $(a, b)$  with either  $a = a_0$  or  $b = a_0$ , satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 1.1. The conclusion is that there exists a non-constant solution  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (a, b)$ , which by construction, (1) and (2), is real analytic.

To show 1.  $\implies$  2., suppose, toward a contradiction, that there exists a solution  $g_1$  as claimed, and that  $f$  has fewer than two zeros.

Case 1. If  $f$  is nonvanishing on  $\mathbb{R}$  then Lemma 1.4 applies and there is some nonempty open interval  $I$  and some onto function  $g_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is a solution of  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$ . By the construction of Lemma 1.4,  $g_2$  is real analytic. On the interval  $I$ ,  $g_2 - g_1$  is continuous, and because  $g_1$  is bounded and  $g_2$  is onto,  $g_2 - g_1$  attains some negative value and some positive value, so by the Intermediate Value Theorem, there is some  $c$  so that  $g_1(c) = g_2(c)$ . By Lemma 1.3,  $g_1(t) \equiv g_2(t)$  on some interval  $(c - \delta, c + \delta)$ , and because both functions are real analytic,  $g_1(t) \equiv g_2(t)$  on  $I$ . The contradiction is that  $g_2$  is unbounded on  $I$  while  $g_1$  is bounded.

Case 2. If  $f$  has exactly one zero,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then Lemma 1.5 applies to  $f$  on  $(-\infty, b)$ : there is some interval  $I_2$  and some one-to-one, onto solution  $g_2 : I_2 \rightarrow (-\infty, b)$ . By an analogous existence result for  $f$  on  $(b, \infty)$ , there is some interval  $I_3$  and some one-to-one, onto solution  $g_3 : I_3 \rightarrow (b, \infty)$ . Because  $g_1$  is non-constant, there is some  $x_0$  where  $g(x_0) \neq b$ .

If  $g_1(x_0) < b$ , then there is some  $T \in I_2$  with  $g_2(T) = g_1(x_0)$ , and the function  $g_4(t) = g_2(t + T - x_0)$  is, by construction, a real analytic solution of  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$  on an open interval  $I_2 - (T - x_0)$  satisfying  $g_4(x_0) = g_1(x_0)$ . As in Case 1., the uniqueness from Lemma 1.3 shows that  $g_4 = g_1$  on  $I - (T - x_0)$ , contradicting the boundedness of  $g_1$ .

The  $g_1(x_0) > b$  case is similar, using  $g_3$ . ■

## 2 Ordinary differential inequalities

### 2.1 Linear differential inequalities

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $u(t)$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $u(a) > 0$ , then either  $u(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ , or there is some  $t_1 \in (a, b]$  so that  $u(t) > 0$  on  $[a, t_1)$  and  $u(t_1) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the set  $S = \{x \in [a, b] : u(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in [a, x)\}$ ; it is non-empty (by continuity of  $u$  and  $u(a) > 0$ ), and bounded above, so it has a least upper bound  $t_1 \in (a, b]$ . If there were some  $t_0$  with  $a < t_0 < t_1$  and  $u(t_0) \leq 0$ , then there would be no  $x \in S$  with  $x > t_0$ , and  $t_0$  would be an upper bound, contradicting the least property of  $t_1$ . So,  $u(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [a, t_1)$ . Case 1: If  $u(t_1) = 0$ , then  $u > 0$  on  $[a, t_1)$  as claimed. Case 2: If  $u(t_1) < 0$  then by continuity, there is some  $t_2$  with  $a < t_2 < t_1$  and  $u(t_2) < 0$ , contradicting the above property that  $u(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [a, t_1)$ . Case 3: If  $t_1 = b$  and  $u(t_1) > 0$ , then  $u > 0$  on  $[a, b]$  as claimed. Case 4: If  $t_1 < b$  and  $u(t_1) > 0$ , then by continuity,  $u(t)$  would be positive on some interval  $[a, t_1 + \delta)$ , contradicting the property that  $t_1$  is an upper bound for  $S$ . Only Cases 1 and 3 do not lead to a contradiction.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $a(t)$  is a real function on  $[0, 1)$  such that  $a(t) \geq 0$  and  $a$  is bounded on every subinterval  $[0, x] \subseteq [0, 1)$ . If  $y$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$  with  $y(0) \geq 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) \geq 0$ , and  $y''(t) \geq a(t)y(t)$  for  $0 < t < 1$ , then  $y(t) \geq 0$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $y'(t) \geq 0$  for all  $0 < t < 1$ .*

*Proof.* Case 1:  $y(0) > 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) > 0$ . In this case, we can show that  $y > 0$  on  $[0, 1]$  and  $y' > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ . By Lemma 2.1 applied to  $y$  on  $[0, 1]$ , either  $y > 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ , or there is some  $t_1 \in (0, 1]$  so that  $y(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, t_1)$  and  $y(t_1) = 0$ . In the latter case,  $y$  attains some positive maximum value on  $[0, t_1]$ . If  $y(0)$  is the maximum, then by the Mean Value Theorem, for any  $0 < \delta < t_1$ , there is some  $t_2$  with  $0 < t_2 < \delta$  and  $y'(t_2) = \frac{y(\delta) - y(0)}{\delta} \leq 0$ , which contradicts  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) > 0$ . If the maximum is at an interior point  $t_3$  with  $0 < t_3 < t_1$ , then  $y'(t_3) = 0$ . From  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) > 0$ , there is some  $t_4$  with  $0 < t_4 < t_3$  and  $y'(t_4) > 0$ . Applying the Mean Value Theorem to  $y'$  on  $[t_4, t_3]$ , there is some  $t_5$  with  $t_4 < t_5 < t_3$  and  $y''(t_5) = \frac{y'(t_3) - y'(t_4)}{t_3 - t_4} < 0$ . This contradicts  $y''(t_5) \geq a(t_5)y(t_5) \geq 0$ . We can conclude that  $y(t_1)$  must be the

maximum value, and  $y(t_1) > 0$ , which contradicts  $y(t_1) = 0$ . This shows  $y(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

For any  $t_7 \in (0, 1)$ , there is some  $t_8$  with  $0 < t_8 < t_7$  and  $y'(t_8) > 0$ . By the Mean Value Theorem, there is some  $t_9$  with  $\frac{y'(t_7) - y'(t_8)}{t_7 - t_8} = y''(t_9) \geq a(t_9)y(t_9) \geq 0$ . It follows that  $y'(t_7) \geq y'(t_8) > 0$ .

Case 1 did not use the boundedness of  $a$ , just  $a \geq 0$ .

Case 2:  $y(0) \geq 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) \geq 0$ . Suppose, toward a contradiction, that there is some  $t_0$  with  $0 < t_0 < 1$  and  $y(t_0) < 0$ . For  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ , there is a bound  $A > 0$  with  $0 \leq a(t) \leq A$ . For  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , define  $u(t) = y(t) - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)e^{\sqrt{A}(t-t_0)}$ . Then, by construction,  $u(0) > 0$  and  $u(t_0) < 0$ . For  $0 < t < t_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &= y'(t) - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)\sqrt{A}e^{\sqrt{A}(t-t_0)} \\ \implies \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u'(t) &= \left( \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)\sqrt{A} > 0, \\ u''(t) &= y''(t) - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)Ae^{\sqrt{A}(t-t_0)} \\ &\geq a(t)y(t) - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)a(t)e^{\sqrt{A}(t-t_0)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)a(t)e^{\sqrt{A}(t-t_0)} - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)Ae^{\sqrt{A}(t-t_0)} \\ &= a(t)u(t) + \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)(a(t) - A)e^{\sqrt{A}(t-t_0)} \\ &\geq a(t)u(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $w(t) = u(t_0t)$ , just horizontally re-scaling  $u$  to the domain  $[0, 1]$  so that  $w(0) > 0$ ,  $w(1) < 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} w'(t) > 0$ , and  $w''(t) \geq t_0^2 a(t_0t)w(t)$ , so Case 1 applies to  $w$ , contradicting  $w(1) < 0$ . The conclusion is that  $y(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1)$ , and on  $[0, 1]$  by continuity.

To establish the inequality  $y' \geq 0$ , for any  $t_1$  with  $0 < t_1 < 1$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , from  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) \geq 0$ , there is some  $t_2$  with  $0 < t_2 < t_1$  and  $y'(t_2) > -\epsilon$ . By the Mean Value Theorem, there is some  $t_3$  with  $t_2 < t_3 < t_1$  and  $\frac{y'(t_1) - y'(t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} = y''(t_3) \geq a(t_3)y(t_3) \geq 0$ . It follows that  $y'(t_1) \geq y'(t_2) > -\epsilon$ . ■

Here's a higher order generalization, using only the Mean Value Theorem, not the maximum value.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Suppose  $a(t)$  is a real function on  $[0, 1]$  such that  $a(t) \geq 0$  and  $a$  is bounded on every subinterval  $[0, x] \subseteq [0, 1]$ . If  $y$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$  with  $y(0) \geq 0$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y^{(j)} \geq 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$ , and  $y^{(k)}(t) \geq a(t)y(t)$  for  $0 < t < 1$ , then  $y(t) \geq 0$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $y^{(j)}(t) \geq 0$  for all  $0 < t < 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .*

*Proof.* Case 1:  $y(0) > 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y^{(j)}(t) > 0$ . In this case, we can show that  $y > 0$  on  $[0, 1]$  and  $y^{(j)} > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$ .

By Lemma 2.1 applied to  $y$  on  $[0, 1]$ , either  $y > 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ , or there is some  $t_1 \in (0, 1]$  so that  $y(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, t_1)$  and  $y(t_1) = 0$ .

In the latter case,  $y(t_1) = 0 < y(0)$ , so by the Mean Value Theorem for  $y$  on  $[0, t_1]$ , there is some  $t_2$  with  $0 < t_2 < t_1$  and  $y'(t_2) < 0$ . Then, the MVT applies to  $y'$  on  $[t_3, t_2]$  for some  $t_3 > 0$  where  $y'(t_3) > 0$ , using  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) > 0$ ,

so there is some  $t_4 > 0$  where  $y''(t_4) = \frac{y'(t_2) - y'(t_3)}{t_2 - t_3} < 0$ . Repeatedly applying this MVT argument to  $y^{(j)}$  until  $j = k$ , gives some  $t_N$  with  $0 < t_N < t_1$ ,  $y^{(k)}(t_N) < 0$ , contradicting  $y^{(k)}(t_N) \geq a(t_N)y(t_N) \geq 0$ .

So, the only case not leading to a contradiction is that  $y(t) > 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

The above MVT argument also shows that all  $y^{(j)}$  are positive on  $(0, 1)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$ , since any point  $t_n$  with  $0 < t_n < t_1 = 1$  and  $y^{(j)}(t_n) \leq 0$  leads to another point  $t_m$  with  $0 < t_m < t_n$  and  $y^{(j+1)}(t_m) < 0$ , eventually contradicting  $y^{(k)}(t_N) \geq a(t_N)y(t_N) \geq 0$ .

Case 1 did not use the boundedness of  $a$ , just  $a \geq 0$ .

Case 2:  $y(0) \geq 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y^{(j)} \geq 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$ . Suppose, toward a contradiction, that there is some  $t_0$  with  $0 < t_0 < 1$  and  $y(t_0) < 0$ . For  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ , there is a bound  $A > 0$  with  $0 \leq a(t) \leq A$ . For  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , define  $u(t) = y(t) - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)e^{A^{1/k}(t-t_0)}$ . Then, by construction,  $u(0) > 0$  and



$u(t_0) < 0$ . For  $0 < t < t_0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
u^{(j)}(t) &= y^{(j)}(t) - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)A^{j/k}e^{A^{1/k}(t-t_0)} \\
\implies \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u^{(j)}(t) &= \left( \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y^{(j)}(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)A^{j/k} > 0, \\
u^{(k)}(t) &= y^{(k)}(t) - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)Ae^{A^{1/k}(t-t_0)} \\
&\geq a(t)y(t) - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)a(t)e^{A^{1/k}(t-t_0)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)a(t)e^{A^{1/k}(t-t_0)} - \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)Ae^{A^{1/k}(t-t_0)} \\
&= a(t)u(t) + \frac{1}{2}y(t_0)(a(t) - A)e^{A^{1/k}(t-t_0)} \\
&\geq a(t)u(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $w(t) = u(t_0t)$ , just horizontally re-scaling  $u$  to the domain  $[0, 1]$  so that  $w(0) > 0$ ,  $w(1) < 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} w^{(j)}(t) > 0$ , and  $w^{(k)}(t) \geq t_0^k a(t_0t)w(t)$ , so Case 1 applies to  $w$ , contradicting  $w(1) < 0$ . The conclusion is that  $y(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1)$ , and on  $[0, 1]$  by continuity.

To establish the inequalities  $y^{(j)} \geq 0$ , start with  $j = k - 1$ . Then, for any  $t_1$  with  $0 < t_1 < 1$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , from  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y^{(k-1)}(t) \geq 0$ , there is some  $t_2$  with  $0 < t_2 < t_1$  and  $y'(t_2) > -\epsilon$ . By the MVT, there is some  $t_3$  with  $t_2 < t_3 < t_1$  and  $\frac{y^{(k-1)}(t_1) - y^{(k-1)}(t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} = y^{(k)}(t_3) \geq a(t_3)y(t_3) \geq 0$ . It follows that  $y^{(k-1)}(t_1) \geq y^{(k-1)}(t_2) > -\epsilon$ . A similar argument applies for  $j$  decreasing from  $k - 1$  to 1.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.4.** *If the left-side limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} f(t) = -\infty$ , then there is no interval  $(b - \delta, b)$  on which  $f'(t)$  is bounded below.*

*Proof.* (See [C].)  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose  $a(t)$  is a real function on  $[0, 1)$  such that  $a$  is bounded above on every subinterval  $[0, x] \subseteq [0, 1)$  and bounded below on every subinterval  $[x_1, x_2] \subseteq (0, 1)$ . If  $y$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$  with  $y(0) \geq 0$  and  $y'(t) \geq a(t)y(t)$  for  $0 < t < 1$ , then  $y(t) \geq 0$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Case 1:  $y(0) > 0$ .

By Lemma 2.1 applied to  $y$  on  $[0, 1]$ , either  $y > 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ , or there is some  $t_1 \in (0, 1]$  so that  $y(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, t_1)$  and  $y(t_1) = 0$ . If  $t_1 = 1$ , then  $y \geq 0$  as claimed.

So, suppose toward a contradiction that  $t_1 < 1$ . On the interval  $[\frac{1}{2}t_1, t_1]$ ,  $a$  is bounded below: there is some  $K < 0$  so that  $K \leq a(t)$ . Define the function  $f(t) = \ln(y(t))$  for  $t$  in the interval  $(\frac{1}{2}t_1, t_1)$ .  $f$  has left-side limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_1^-} f(t) = -\infty$ . For all  $t$  in  $(\frac{1}{2}t_1, t_1)$ , the derivative is bounded below:  $f'(t) = \frac{1}{y(t)}y'(t) \geq \frac{1}{y(t)}a(t)y(t) = a(t) \geq K$ , but this contradicts Lemma 2.4.

Case 2:  $y(0) = 0$ .

Suppose toward a contradiction that there is some  $p \in [0, 1]$  with  $y(p) < 0$ .  $p \neq 0$  by assumption, and if  $p = 1$ , then by continuity of  $y$ , there is some nearby point  $p - \delta_1/2$  with  $y(p - \delta_1/2) < 0$ . So by re-labeling if necessary, we can assume  $0 < p < 1$ . On the interval  $[0, p]$ ,  $a$  is bounded above: there is some  $A > 0$  so that  $a(t) \leq A$ .

Define  $g(t) = -y(p - pt)$  on the domain  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , so that  $g(0) = -y(p) > 0$ ,  $g(1) = -y(0) = 0$ , and  $g$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . The derivative satisfies

$$g'(t) = py'(p - pt) \geq pa(p - pt)y(p - pt) = -pa(p - pt)g(t),$$

and the coefficient  $-pa(p - pt)$  is bounded below by  $-pA$ . Case 1 applies to  $g$ , so  $g(t) > 0$  on  $[0, 1)$  and  $g(1) = 0$ . Define the function  $f(t) = \ln(g(t))$  for  $t$  in the interval  $(0, 1)$ .  $f$  has left-side limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} f(t) = -\infty$ . For all  $t$  in  $(0, 1)$ , the derivative is bounded below:  $f'(t) = \frac{1}{g(t)}g'(t) \geq \frac{1}{g(t)}(-pa(p - pt))g(t) = -pa(p - pt) \geq -pA$ , but this contradicts Lemma 2.4. ■

**Lemma 2.6.** *Suppose  $a(t)$  is a bounded real function on  $[0, X]$ . Then there is some  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta \leq X$ , with the property that if  $y$  is continuous on  $[0, X]$  with  $y(0) \geq 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) \geq 0$ , and  $y''(t) \geq a(t)y(t)$  for  $0 < t < X$ , then  $y(t) \geq 0$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq \delta$ .*

*Proof.* Step 1. Pick any  $x$  in  $(0, X]$ , so that by hypothesis, there are some  $A > 0$  and  $K < 0$  so that  $K \leq a(t) \leq A$  for  $t \in [0, x]$ . Let  $\delta = \min\{x, \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{-K}}\} > 0$ . (Remark: depending on  $a$ , it may be possible to choose  $x$  that optimizes  $\delta$ .) To show that this is a  $\delta$  as claimed by the Lemma, suppose toward a contradiction that there is some  $c$  with  $0 \leq c \leq \delta$  and  $y(c) < 0$ .  $c > 0$  by hypothesis.

Step 2. Let  $y(b)$  be the minimum value of  $y$  on  $[0, c]$ , so  $y(b) \leq y(c) < 0$  and  $0 < b \leq c \leq \delta$ . The MVT applies to  $y$  on  $[0, b]$ : there is some  $t_0$  with  $0 < t_0 < b$  and  $y'(t_0) = \frac{y(b)-y(0)}{b-0}$ . The MVT applies to  $y'$  (extended to  $y'(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) \geq 0$ ) on  $[0, t_0]$ : there is some  $t_1$  with  $0 < t_1 < t_0$  and

$$y''(t_1) = \frac{y'(t_0) - y'(0)}{t_0 - 0} = \frac{\frac{y(b)-y(0)}{b} - y'(0)}{t_0}.$$

By hypothesis,

$$a(t_1)y(t_1) \leq y''(t_1) = \frac{y(b) - y(0) - by'(0)}{bt_0} \leq \frac{y(b)}{bt_0} < 0.$$

If  $a(t_1) > 0$  and  $y(t_1) < 0$ , then  $y(t_1) \leq \frac{y(b)}{bt_0a(t_1)} < \frac{y(b)}{\delta^2A} \leq y(b)$ , contradicting the minimum property of  $y(b)$ . So,  $a(t_1) < 0$  and  $y(t_1) > 0$ .

Step 3. Lemma 2.1 applies to  $y$  on the interval  $[t_1, b]$ , so there is some  $t_3$  with  $t_1 < t_3 < b$ ,  $y(t_3) = 0$ , and  $y(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [t_1, t_3)$ . A left-side version of Lemma 2.1 applies to  $y$  on the interval  $[0, t_1]$ ; there are two cases:

Case 1. There is some  $t_2$  with  $0 \leq t_2 < t_1$ ,  $y(t_2) = 0$ , and  $y(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (t_2, t_1]$ .

Case 2.  $y(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, t_1]$ . In this case denote  $t_2 = 0$ .

In either case, there is some interval  $[t_2, t_3]$  where  $0 \leq t_2 < t_1 < t_3 < b$ ,  $y(t_3) = 0$ ,  $y(t_2) \geq 0$ , and  $y(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (t_2, t_3)$ . Let  $y(t_4)$  be the maximum value of  $y$  on  $[t_2, t_3]$ . In Case 1,  $t_2 < t_4 < t_3$ , so the maximum occurs at an interior point and  $y'(t_4) = 0$ . In Case 2,  $t_4$  is either an interior point of  $[t_2, t_3]$ , or the maximum occurs at the endpoint  $t_4 = t_2 = 0$ , where there is a right-side derivative  $y'(0) \geq 0$  as in Step 2. In either case,  $y'(t_4) \geq 0$ .

Step 4. The MVT applies to  $y$  on  $[t_4, t_3]$ : there is some  $t_5$  with  $t_4 < t_5 < t_3$  and  $y'(t_5) = \frac{y(t_3) - y(t_4)}{t_3 - t_4}$ . The MVT applies to  $y'$  on  $[t_4, t_5]$ : there is some  $t_6$  with  $t_4 < t_6 < t_5$  and

$$y''(t_6) = \frac{y'(t_5) - y'(t_4)}{t_5 - t_4} = \frac{\frac{y(t_3) - y(t_4)}{t_3 - t_4} - y'(t_4)}{t_5 - t_4} < \frac{-y(t_4)}{b^2}.$$

Using the lower bound for  $a$  and the property  $y(t_6) > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Ky(t_6) &\leq a(t_6)y(t_6) \leq y''(t_6) < \frac{-y(t_4)}{b^2} \\ \implies y(t_6) &> \frac{-y(t_4)}{b^2 K} \geq \frac{-y(t_4)}{\delta^2 K} \geq y(t_4), \end{aligned}$$

contradicting the maximum property of  $y(t_4)$ . ■

**Theorem 2.7.** *Suppose  $a(t)$  and  $b(t)$  are real functions on  $[0, 1)$ , and there is a point  $X$  such that  $0 < X < 1$  and  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $b'$  are bounded on  $(0, X]$ . Then there is some  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta \leq X$ , with the property that if  $y$  is continuous on  $[0, 1)$  with  $y(0) \geq 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) \geq 0$ , and  $y''(t) \geq a(t)y(t) + b(t)y'(t)$  for  $0 < t < X$ , then  $y(t) \geq 0$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq \delta$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis, there are some  $A > 0$  and  $K < 0$  so that  $K \leq a(t) \leq A$  for  $t \in [0, X]$ , and there are some  $B > 0$  and  $L < 0$  so that  $L \leq b(t) \leq B$  for  $t \in [0, X]$ . Let  $x = \min\{X, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2A}}, \frac{1}{4B}\} > 0$ .

Recall the elementary calculus fact that if  $b$  is continuous and bounded on  $(0, x)$ , then  $b$  is (Riemann) integrable on  $[0, x]$ . Let  $p(t) = \int_0^t -\frac{1}{2}b(x)dx$ , so  $p$  is continuous on  $[0, x]$  and for  $0 < t < x$ ,  $p'(t) = -\frac{1}{2}b(t)$ .

Let

$$f(t) = e^{p(t)} [y(t) - Ky(0)t^2 - y(0)],$$

so  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, x]$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . For  $0 < t < x$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= e^{p(t)} [y'(t) - 2Ky(0)t] \\ &\quad + e^{p(t)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}b(t)\right) [y(t) - Ky(0)t^2 - y(0)], \end{aligned}$$

and using  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (y(t) - y(0)) = 0$  and the boundedness of  $b$ , the limit exists:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} y'(t) \geq 0.$$

For  $0 < t < x$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
f''(t) &= e^{p(t)} [y''(t) - 2Ky(0)] + e^{p(t)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}b(t)\right) [y'(t) - 2Ky(0)t] \\
&\quad + e^{p(t)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}b(t)\right)^2 [y(t) - Ky(0)t^2 - y(0)] \\
&\quad + e^{p(t)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}b'(t)\right) [y(t) - Ky(0)t^2 - y(0)] \\
&\quad + e^{p(t)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}b(t)\right) [y'(t) - 2Ky(0)t] \\
&\geq e^{p(t)} [a(t)y(t) + b(t)y'(t) - 2Ky(0)] - e^{p(t)}b(t) [y'(t) - 2Ky(0)t] \\
&\quad + e^{p(t)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}b(t)\right)^2 [y(t) - Ky(0)t^2 - y(0)] \\
&\quad + e^{p(t)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}b'(t)\right) [y(t) - Ky(0)t^2 - y(0)] \\
&= e^{p(t)} [y(t) - Ky(0)t^2 - y(0)] \left( a(t) + \frac{1}{4}(b(t))^2 - \frac{1}{2}b'(t) \right) \quad (4) \\
&\quad + e^{p(t)}y(0) (K(a(t)t^2 + 2b(t)t - 2) + a(t)). \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

In the last step, the  $y'$  terms cancel by construction. Term (4) is equal to  $\tilde{a}(t)f(t)$ , where  $\tilde{a}(t) = (a(t) + \frac{1}{4}(b(t))^2 - \frac{1}{2}b'(t))$  is bounded by hypothesis. The upper bounds  $a(t) \leq A$  and  $b(t) \leq B$  and the initial choice of  $x$  imply, for  $0 < t < x$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
a(t)t^2 + 2b(t)t - 2 &\leq At^2 + 2Bt - 2 \\
&\leq A \left( \frac{1}{2A} \right) + 2B \left( \frac{1}{4B} \right) - 2 = -1 \\
\implies K(a(t)t^2 + 2b(t)t - 2) + a(t) &\geq -K + a(t) \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

so the entire term (5) is non-negative, and for  $0 < t < x$ ,  $f''(t) \geq \tilde{a}(t)f(t)$ . Lemma 2.6 applies to  $f$ , so there is some  $\delta_1$  depending on  $a, b, b', X$ , but not on  $y$ , with  $f \geq 0$  on  $[0, \delta_1]$ . The factor  $[y(t) - Ky(0)t^2 - y(0)]$  is non-negative on the same interval, where

$$y(t) - Ky(0)t^2 - y(0) \geq 0 \implies y(t) \geq y(0)(1 + Kt^2),$$

so  $y(t) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \delta = \min\{\delta_1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{-K}}\}$ . ■

## 2.2 A nonlinear differential inequality

**Theorem 2.8.** *Given a function  $f$  that satisfies  $f''f - (f')^2 \geq 0$  on  $(a, b)$ , at every critical point  $c$  with  $f(c) \neq 0$ , there is either a positive local min. or a negative local max.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $c$  is a critical point, meaning  $f'(c) = 0$ . Suppose also that  $f(c) \neq 0$ , so that the function  $g(x) = f'(x)/f(x)$  is defined on a neighborhood  $N = (c - \delta, c + \delta) \subseteq (a, b)$ . By the quotient rule,

$$g'(x) = \frac{f(x)f''(x) - (f'(x))^2}{(f(x))^2}$$

which is  $\geq 0$  on  $N$  by hypothesis. It follows that  $g(x)$  is weakly increasing on  $N$ . For  $c < x < c + \delta$ ,  $f'(x)/f(x) = g(x) \geq g(c) = 0$ , and for  $c - \delta < x < c$ ,  $f'(x)/f(x) = g(x) \leq g(c) = 0$ .

If  $f(c) > 0$ , then  $f(x) > 0$  on  $N$  so  $f'(x) \geq 0$  on the right and  $f'(x) \leq 0$  on the left.  $f(c)$  is a local min. by the first derivative test.

If  $f(c) < 0$ , then  $f(x) < 0$  on  $N$  so  $f'(x) \leq 0$  on the right and  $f'(x) \geq 0$  on the left.  $f(c)$  is a local max. ■

Note that  $\mathcal{C}^2$  is not used, just the existence of  $f''$ . Constant functions trivially satisfy both the hypotheses and conclusions.

**Lemma 2.9.** *If  $p(x)$  satisfies  $p''(x) \geq 0$  on  $(a, b)$  then for any  $c \in (a, b)$ ,  $p$  satisfies  $p(x) \geq p(c) + p'(c)(x - c)$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$ . ■*

*Proof.* (See [C].) ■

**Theorem 2.10.** *Given a function  $f$  that satisfies  $f''f - (f')^2 \geq 0$  on  $(a, b)$ , if there is a point  $c$  in  $(a, b)$  with  $f(c) > 0$ , then  $f$  satisfies*

$$f(x) \geq f(c) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{f'(c)}{f(c)}(x - c)\right)$$

for all  $x \in (a, b)$ .

*Proof.* By continuity, there is some neighborhood  $(s, t) \subseteq (a, b)$  so that  $s < c < t$  and  $f(x) > 0$  on  $(s, t)$ . Suppose  $f(z) = 0$  for some  $z \in (c, b)$ . Then, the set  $\{t : f(x) > 0 \text{ on } (c, t)\}$  is non-empty and has  $\sup = T \leq z < b$ . By construction and using continuity again,  $f(T) = 0$  and  $f(x) > 0$  on  $(s, T)$ .

Consider  $h(x) = \ln(f(x))$ , which is well-defined on  $(s, T)$ .  $h' = f'/f = g$ , from Theorem 2.8, so  $h'' = g' \geq 0$  on  $(s, T)$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $h(x) \geq h(c) + h'(c) \cdot (x - c)$  on  $(s, T)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(f(x)) &\geq \ln(f(c)) + \frac{f'(c)}{f(c)} \cdot (x - c) \\ f(x) &\geq f(c) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{f'(c)}{f(c)} \cdot (x - c)\right)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x$  in  $(s, T)$ . This implies  $\lim_{x \rightarrow T^-} f(x) = f(T) > 0$ , which contradicts the construction of  $T$ . We can conclude that  $f$  is never zero on  $(c, b)$ , and always positive there, so the inequality holds on  $(s, b)$ . The inequality on the other side of  $c$  follows from an analogous inf argument. ■

It follows that if  $c$  is a critical point with  $f(c) > 0$ , then  $f(c)$  is a global minimum. It further follows that either  $f$  is constant or there is at most one point  $c$  where  $f'(c) = 0$  and  $f(c) > 0$ .

## References

- [C] A. COFFMAN, *Notes on first semester calculus*  
[www.pfw.edu/math](http://www.pfw.edu/math)