## XXIX. Nāvigāre Necesse Est

## Rēs Grammaticae Novae

1. Verbs
a. Uses of the Subjunctive
i. Deliberative Questions
ii. Indirect Questions
b. Cum Clauses
i. Cum Temporal (Indicative)
ii. Cum Temporal and Causal (Subjunctive)
c. Compound Verbs
2. Nouns: Case Uses
a. Genitive of Value
b. Genitive of the Charge
c. Partitive Genitive: Nostrum and Vestrum
3. Pronouns: Personal (continued)

## "What Shall I Do?"

The Roman merchant, who is ruined because his goods had to be thrown overboard during the storm to keep the ship afloat, cannot fully share the joy of the others at being saved.

## Lēctiō Prīma (Section I)

## Deliberative Questions

In his distress, the merchant exclaims, "Heu, mē miserum!" (acc. in exclamation, Cap. XV) and asks in despair (ll.22-23):

Quid faciam? What am I to do? What can I do?
Quid spērem? What am I to hope for? What can I hope for?
In this kind of deliberative question, when you ask irresolutely what to do, the verb is usually in the subjunctive. Deliberative questions expect to get a directive as an answer, either in the form of the imperative or the subjunctive,
or no answer at all (that is, they are questions asked in desperation with no hope of an answer).

Further Examples:
Quōmodo uxōrem et līberōs alam? (1.23)
Gubernātor perterritus exclāmat, "Ō dì bonī! Quid faciāmus?" (ll.198199)

Sed quōmodo vīvāmus sine pecūniā? Quōmodo cibum et vestem emam infantibus meīs? (ll.51-52)
Quid ergō faciam? Ipse dē nāve saliam, an in eādem nāve maneam vōbīscum? (ll.56-57)

## Genitive of Value

In order to indicate how much you value something, genitives like magn $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$, parvī, plūris, minōris are used with verbs that evaluate (e.g., aestimāre or facere in the same sense). Examples:

Mercātōrēs mercēs suās magnī aestimant, vītam nautārum parvī aestimant! (ll.6-7)
Nōnne līberōs plūris aestimās quam mercēs istās? (ll.26-27)

## Lēctiō Altera (Section II)

## Clauses with the Subordinate Conjunction cum

You first learned the conjunction cum in Cap. X. Depending on the force of the conjunction, cum is used with a verb either in the indicative (as you have met many times) or the subjunctive.

After cum, the verb is in the indicative: ${ }^{1}$

- in temporal clauses, meaning "when." We met this use of cum in Cap. X:

Cum avis volat, ālae moventur. (Cap. X, l.15)
Cum syllabae iunguntur, vocābula fìunt. (Cap. XVIII, 1.29)
Cum vocābula coniunguntur, sententiae fīunt. (Cap. XVIII, 11.29-30)

- in clauses describing something that happens usually or repeatedly, ${ }^{2}$ e.g.:

Semper gaudeō cum dē līberīs meīs cōgitō. (1.47)
Tū numquam mē salūtābās, cum mē vidēbās. (Cap. XIX, ll.99-100)

[^0]After cum, the verb is in the subjunctive:

- when cum means "since," "because," or "as," the subjunctive can be present tense (with a present main verb) or imperfect (with a past tense main verb):
Gubernātor, cum omnēs attentōs videat, hanc fäbulam nārrat. (ll.7677)

Cum iam vītam dēspērāret, id ūnum ōrāvit. (11.88-89)
Ānulum abiēcit, cum sēsē nimis fē̄̄̄cem esse cēnsēret. (ll.156-157)
Polycratēs, cum ānulum suum recognōsceret, māximā laetitiā affectus est. (ll.171-172)

- when the cum refers to the past and means "when," its verb is mostly in the imperfect subjunctive, e.g.:
Cum Arīōn ex Italiā in Graeciam nāvigāret magnāsque dīvitiās sēcum habēret... (ll.78-80)
Cum haec falsa nārrārent, Arīōn repente appāruit. (11.110-111)


## Indirect Questions

When questions are reported, that is, they are indirect, the verb goes into the subjunctive. Compare Lydia's (direct) question with her reminder (indirect) of that question in this chapter:

| "Nōnne tua erat ista pecūnia?" | "Wasn't that your money?" |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | (Cap. XXVIII, l.187) |
| "Modo tē interrogāvī tuane | "I just asked you if that was |
| esset pecūnia." | your money." (ll.127-128) |

As the object of the verb interrogāre, the verb in an indirect question goes into the subjunctive. Similarly, Num haec fäbula vēra est? after dubitāre becomes:
dubitō num haec fābula vēra sit. (11.116-117)
Notā Bene: You will find dubitāre with an more frequently than with num, as you can see in this sentence from the Younger Pliny (Gāius Plīnius Secundus):

Quibus ex causīs, ut suprā scrīpsī, dubitō an īdem nunc tibi quod tunc mihi suādeam.

Consider the implied levels of questions in (ll.105-106):
"Ubi est Arōōn et quid facit?" (direct question)
Scitisne ubi sit Arīon et quid faciat? (indirect question)
Rēx eōs interrogat "num sciant ubi sit Arīōn et quid faciat?" (indirect, present main verb)
Rēx eōs interrogāvit "num scīrent ubi esset Ariōn et quid faceret?"
(indirect, past main verb)

Not $\bar{a}$ Bene: Sometimes the reported question is deliberative (see above); context will make this clear:

Vir ita perturbātus est ut sē interroget, utrum in mare saliat an in nāve remaneat. (ll.57-59) = a result clause introducing an indirect deliberative question; what he originally asked himself was: "Should I leap into the sea or remain on the boat," and this becomes: "The man is so distressed that he asks himself whether he should leap into the sea or remain on the boat."
Mēdus rubēns nescit quid respondeat. (Cap. XXVIII, l.184): "Medus, blushing, does not know what he should respond." Medus originally asks himself, "what should I respond?"

## More Result Clauses

We met consecutive clauses (clauses of result) in the last chapter. Here are further examples from this chapter:

Vir ita perturbātus est ut sē interroget... (1l.57-58)
Arīōn tam pulchrē fidibus canēbat ut alter Orpheus appellārētur. (11.66-67)

An tam ignārus es ut etiam Orpheus tibi ignōtus sit? (ll.67-68)
Is fidicen nōbilissimus fuit quī tam pulchrē canēbat ut bēstiae ferae, nātūram suam oblītae, accēderent. (11.70-72)
Nautae precibus eius ita permōtī sunt ut manūs quidem ab eō abstinērent. (11.86-87)
Tanta erat potestās eius, tanta glōria tantaeque dīvitiae, ut nōn sōlum alī̄ tyrannī, sed etiam dī immortālēs è ī invidērent. (ll.158-160)
Piscem cēpit quī tam fōrmōsus erat ut piscātor eum nōn vēnderet. (ll.167-168)

## Words that signal result clauses

| tantus, -a, -um | so great | adjective of magnitude, quantity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| talis, tale | of such a sort | adjective of quality |
| eius modī | of such a sort | descriptive genitive |
| totso many | adjective of quantity |  |
| sīcin this way | adverb |  |
| itaso, in such a | way | adverb |
| adeō | for far, to such an extent | adverb |
| tam | so | adverb: only with adjs. |

Under Grammatica Latina, examples are shown of typical $u t$ - and $n \bar{e}$-clauses.

## Summary: Purpose and Result

- Purpose clauses show the goal of the main verb (in order to); result clauses describe the consequence of the modified (tam, tantus, ita) word.
- Purpose clauses are negated by $n \bar{e}$; result clauses are negated by $u t$ plus a negative.
that...not
that...no one
that...nothing
that...never

| Negative Purpose | Negative Result |
| :---: | :--- |
| né | ut..nōn |
| né quis | ut..nēmō |
| ne quid | ut...nihil |
| né umquam | ut...numquam |

## Lēctiō Tertia (Section III)

## Genitive of the Charge

With accūsāre, the charge is in the genitive:
Lydia pergit eum fūrtī accūsāre.: accuses him of theft (1.137)

## Partitive Genitive (continued)

A partitive genitive may qualify a pronoun, e.g.:
aliquid pecūlī̄ (1.135)
nihil mal̄ (l.157)
quid nov $\bar{\imath}$ ? (Cap. XXXI, 11.2-3)
The partitive genitive of nōs, vōs is nostrum, vestrum:
nēmō nostrum/vestrum (ll.39, 42-43)

## Personal Pronouns (continued from Cap. XX)

There are two forms for the genitive plural of the personal pronouns. The forms $m e \bar{\imath}$, tu $\bar{\imath}, n o s t r \bar{i}, ~ v e s t r \bar{i}$, and $s u \bar{\imath}$ (used for singular and plural) are generally used as objective genitives, e.g.:

| amor me $\bar{i}$ | love of me (as opposed to amor meus: my love) |
| :--- | :--- |
| timor vestr $\bar{i}$ | fear of you (as opposed to timor vester: your fear) |

The forms nostrum and vestrum, as you learned in the previous section, are partitive. It is helpful to distinguish the two by memorizing a phrase. A good one is the partitive phrase Cicero often uses when addressing his audience: quis vestrum? (who of you?)

## Recēnsiō: Personal Pronouns

|  | 1st sing | 1st pl. | 2nd sing. | 2nd pl. | Reflexive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nom. | ego | $n o ̄ s$ | $t \bar{u}$ | $v o ̄ s$ |  |
| acc. | $m \bar{e}$ | $n o ̄ s$ | $t \bar{e}$ | $v o ̄ s$ | $s \bar{e}$ |
| gen. | meī | nostrī/nostrum | $t u \bar{i}$ | vestrī/vestrum | $s u \bar{i}$ |
| dat. | mihi | nōbīs | tibi | $v o ̄ b \bar{s} s$ | sibi |
| abl. | $m \bar{e}$ | nōbīs | $t \bar{e}$ | $v o ̄ b \bar{s}$ | $s \bar{e}$ |

## Compound Verbs

Many verbs are formed with prefixes, mostly prepositions. Examples in this chapter:

| dē-terrēre | per-movēre |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\bar{a}$-mittere | sub-īre |
| in-vidēre | ex-pōnere |
| per-mittere | re-dūcere (re-means "back" or "again") |

Prefixes cause a short $a$ or $e$ in the verbal stem to be changed to $i$. Thus from:

| facere is formed | af-, cōn-, ef-, per-ficere |
| :--- | :--- |
| cappere | ac-, in-, re-cipere |
| rapere | $\bar{e}$-, sur-ripere |
| salīre | dē-silire |
| fátērī | cōn-fititēr |
| tenēre | abs-, con-, re-tinēre |
| premere | im-primere |

Similarly, in compounds, iacere becomes -iicere, but the spelling ii is avoided by writing -icere, e.g.:
$a b-, a d-, \bar{e}-$, prō-ícere

## Recēnsiō: Indicative/Subjunctive

## Indicative

Ut Orpheus cantū suō ferās ad sē alliciēbat, ita ${ }^{3}$ tunc Arīōn canendō piscēs allēxit ad nāvem. (ll.93-95)
Subitō mercātor è dīvitissimō pauperrimus factus est. (ll.17-18)
Ita spērābat sē magnum lucrum factūrum esse. (1.15)
Laetitia vestra mē nōn afficit. (1.45)
Nec quisquam nostrum trīstitià tuā afficitur. (ll.46-47)
Quisnam est Arīōn? Nē nōmen quidem mihi nōtum est. (ll.63-64)

[^1]Mercātōrēs mercēs suās magnī aestimant, vītam nautārum parvī aestimant! (ll.6-7)
Nōnne līberōs plūris aestimās quam mercēs istās? (11.26-27)
Sī fūrtum fēcī, tuà causā id fecī. (l.139)
Nāvis autem vēlīs sōlīs nōn tam vēlōciter vehitur quam ante tempestātem, nam vēla ventō rapidō scissa sunt. (ll.191-193)
"Per deōs immortālēs!" inquit gubernātor, cum prīmum nāvem appropinquantem prōspexit. "Illa nāvis vēlōx nōs persequitur." (ll.187-189)

## Subjunctive

Purpose (final clauses)
Is laetus Ōstiā profectus est cum mercibus pretiōsīs quās omnī pecūnī̄ suā in Italià èmerat eō cōnsiliō ut eās māiōre pretiō in Graeciā vēnderet. (ll.12-15)
Eō enim cōnsiliō nummōs surripuī ut dōnum pretiōsum tibi emerem. (ll.139-141)
Rēctē dīcis: meae mercēs ēiectae sunt, ut nāvis tua salva esset! (11.34-35)

Mercēs iēcimus ut nōs omnēs salvī essēmus. (11.36-37)
Orpheus etiam ad Īnferōs dēscendit ut uxōrem suam mortuam inde redūceret.... Sed perge nārrāre dē Arīone. (1l.73-75)

## Verba Postulandī

Nōl̄̄ tū mé cōnsōlārī qū̄ ipse imperāvistī ut mercēs meae iacerentur! (ll.30-32)
Quid iuvat deōs precārī ut rēs āmissae tibi reddantur? Frūstrā hoc precāris. (1l.54-55)
Sed tamen imperā̄ērunt ut statim in mare dēsil̄̄ret! (ll.87-88)
At nōlīte mē monēre ut laetus sim, postquam omnia mihi ēripuistis! (11.43-45)

Hāc fäbulā monēmur ut semper bonō animō sīmus nēve umquam dè salūte dēspērēmus. Dum anima est, spēs est. (ll.122-124)
Ille vērō, cōnsiliō eōrum cognitō, pecūniam cēteraque sua nautīs dedit, hoc sōlum ōrāns ut sibi ipsī parcerent. (11.81-83)
Itaque gubernātor imperat ut nāvis rēmīs agātur. (1.193)

## Studia Rōmāna

Travel was extensive in the period of our narrative and travel narratives were a growing genre. The Greek historian Arrian of Nicomedia (on the west coast of Turkey near Istanbul) wrote Periplūs Pontī Euxīn̄̄, a Latin translation of
 Black Sea. Arrian, while governor of the province of Cappadocia, addressed his narrative to the emperor Hadrian (emperor AD 117-138). A contemporary of Arrian, the Greek Pausanias, wrote a guide in ten volumes detailing what he saw and heard on his travels through Greece. Pausanias remains a valuable reference for Roman Greece in the second century AD.

In both this and the previous chapter, the helmsman expresses his fear of pirates. Piracy had been one of the many dangers of sea travel during the republican period (and thus Pompey the Great was given extraordinary military powers to rid the seas of pirates in 67 BC , about which you will read in Cap. XXXII). During the empire, attacks by pirates would be countered by the Roman navy, and sea travel was a good deal safer (although not completely safe). Although large-scale piracy had ceased to exist, it lived on in the popular imagination. More dangerous was the sea itself and shipwreck was not unknown. The iactūra of goods was a common practice when shipwreck threatened: the chance of staying afloat was increased by lightening the load. This real danger posed grounds for the following ethical discussion reported in Cicero's de Officī̄s (Cicero is reporting a discussion led by Hecaton, a prominent Stoic philosopher from Rhodes of the first century BC): Question: "If one is forced to make a iactūra at sea, which should one throw overboard? An expensive horse or a cheap slave?" Response: financial considerations lead in one direction, humane sensibility in the opposite. "What if a foolish man has grabbed a board floating from the shipwreck-will the philosopher grab it away if he can?" No, because it would be wrong. "What about the owner of the ship? Will he grab the plank-it belongs to him." Not at all, not any more than he would toss a passenger overboard because the boat was his. Until they arrive at the ship's destination, the boat belongs not to the owner, but to the passengers (3.23.89-90).

In this chapter, you also read two well-known Greek stories: about the poet Arion and the tyrant Polycrates. The fifth century Greek historian Herodotus writes about both. The famous seventh-century BC Greek lyre-player Arion (Herodotus 1.23-24) was sailing from southern Italy back to Corinth in Greece when he was thrown overboard and rescued by a dolphin. Herodotus (3.40-43) also records the story of Polycrates (the tyrant of Samos in the sixth century BC) who, on the advice of his friend Amasis, the king of Egypt, threw away his signet ring, a valuable emerald set in gold. This last story reflects a common theme that the gods are displeased by too much good fortune; by throwing away the ring, Polycrates hopes to restore the balance of human fortune. That he is unsuccessful signals the magnitude of his ultimate downfall (Polycrates was eventually killed in a way Herodotus finds too horrible to reveal, and when dead, his corpse was crucified for all to see). Pliny the Elder in his Natural History (37.2) claims that the gem in Polycrates' ring was on display, set in a golden horn, in the temple of Concord, given to the temple as a gift from Augustus' wife Livia.

The gubernātor's words (124-124) "Dum anima est, spēs est" echo Cicero (Ad Atticum 9.10) ut aegrōtō, dum anima est, spēs esse dīcitur.

## Vocābula Disposita/Ōrdināta

| Nōmina |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1st |  |
| dīvitiae, -ārum | riches |
| fortūna, -ae | fortune |
| iactūra, -ae | throwing away, loss |
| invidia, -ae | envy, ill will |
| laetitia, -ae | happiness |
| trīstitia, -ae | sadness |
| vīta, -ae | life |
| 2nd |  |
| beneficium, -1 | good deed |
| delphīnus, -ī | dolphin |
| dorsum, -1 | back |
| fundus, -ī | bottom |
| fūrtum, -ī | theft |
| lucrum, -ī | profit |
| maleficium, $-\overline{\mathbf{1}}$ | evil deed |
| rēmus, -ī | oar |
| tyrannus, -ī | tyrant |
| 3rd |  |
| carmen, carminis (n.) | song, poem |
| fêlīcitās, fēlīcitātis ( $f$.) | happiness |
| fidēs, fidium ( $f . p l$. ) | lyre |
| fidicen, fidicinis (f.) | lyre-player |
| fūr, fūris (m.) | thief |
| nāvigātiō, nāvigātiōnis (f.) | sailing |
| piscātor, piscātōris (m.) | fisherman |
| salūs, salūtis ( $f$.) | safety |
| 4th |  |
| cantus, - - ${ }_{\text {s }}$ (m.) | song |
| 5th |  |
| spēs, -eī $(f .)^{4}$ | hope |
| Verba |  |
| -āre (1) |  |
| (aestimō) aestimāre, -āvisse, -ātum | value, estimate |
| (appropinquō) appropinquāre, <br> -āvisse (intr. + dat.) | approach |
| (dēspērō) dēspērāre, -āvisse, -ātum | lose hope |

Nōmina
1st
dīvitiae, -ārum
fortūna,-ae
iactūra, -ae
invidia, -ae
laetitia, -ae
trīstitia, -ae
vīta, -ae
beneficium, -ī
delphīnus, -ī
dorsum, - $\overline{1}$
fundus, - $\overline{1}$
fūrtum, -ī
lucrum, - $\overline{1}$
maleficium, $-\overline{\mathbf{1}}$
rēmus, -ī
tyrannus, -ī
3rd
carmen, carminis (n.)
fēlīcitās, fēlīcitātis ( $f$.)
fidēs, fidium ( $f . p l$.)
fidicen, fidicinis $(f$.
fūr, fūris ( $m$.)
nāvigātiō, nāvigātiōnis (f.)
piscātor, piscātōris (m.)
salūs, salūtis ( $f$.)
cantus, - $\overline{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{s}$ (m.)
5th
spēs, -ē $(f .)^{4}$
Verba
(aestimō) aestimāre, -āvisse, -ātum appropinquō) appropinquāre,
dēspērō) dēspērāre, -āvisse, -ātum
riches
fortune
throwing away, loss
envy, ill will
happiness
sadness
life
good deed
dolphin
back
bottom
theft
profit
evil deed
oar
tyrant
song, poem
happiness
lyre
lyre-player
thief
sailing
fisherman
safety
song
hope

[^2]

| Irregular (subeō) subīre, subiisse | undergo |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adiectīva |  |
| 1st/2nd (-us/er, -a, -um) <br> celsus, -a, -um <br> ignārus, -a, -um <br> ignōtus, -a, -um <br> maestus, -a, -um <br> mīrus, -a, -um <br> nōtus, -a, -um <br> pretiōsus, -a, -um <br> rapidus, -a, -um | tall, high ignorant, unaware unknown sad surprising, strange known precious rapid |
| 3 rd |  |
| fallāx (gen. fallācis) | false, deceitful |
| fēlīx (gen. fēlīcis) | lucky, fortunate |
| nōbilis, -e | well-known, famous |
| vēlōx (gen. vēlōcis) | swift |
| Prōnōmina |  |
| nōnnūllī, -ae, -a | several |
| sēsē | intensive form of sē |
| Adverbia |  |
| frūstrā | in vain |
| inde | from there |
| nōnnumquam | often |
| prōtinus | immediately, at once |
| quasi | as if |
| repente | suddenly |


[^0]:    1. When the cum-clause follows the main clause and provides the main focus of the sentence, the indicative is used. This construction is called cum inversum. Compare the force of the two English sentences: When I was reading, the phone rang; I was reading when the phone rang. In both sentences, the focus of the sentence is on the phone ringing.
    2. Cum in this function is called "cum" iterātīvum (from iterāre, "repeat").
[^1]:    3. For $u t \ldots i t a$, see Cap. XIX.
[^2]:    4. Like rēs (Cap. XIV), spēs has a short ĕ in the genitive and dative singular (see Cap. XIII for the rules): $s p e \bar{s}$, $s p e \check{e} i$. .
