Isolated CR singularities of real threefolds in \mathbb{C}^3

Adam Coffman

Indiana University - Purdue University Fort Wayne

AMS Western Section Meeting at the University of Utah

April 2016

 \mathbb{C}^3 has coordinates $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (x_1 + iy_1, x_2 + iy_2, x_3 + iy_3)$. Let M be a real analytic 3-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 . A CR singular point $\vec{z_0} \in M$ is where $T_{\vec{z_0}}M$ contains a complex line.

 \mathbb{C}^3 has coordinates $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (x_1 + iy_1, x_2 + iy_2, x_3 + iy_3)$. Let M be a real analytic 3-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 . A CR singular point $\vec{z}_0 \in M$ is where $T_{\vec{z}_0}M$ contains a complex line.

For *M* in general position, the locus $N \subseteq M$ of CR singular points is a real analytic curve (codimension 2).

 \mathbb{C}^3 has coordinates $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (x_1 + iy_1, x_2 + iy_2, x_3 + iy_3)$. Let M be a real analytic 3-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 . A CR singular point $\vec{z}_0 \in M$ is where $T_{\vec{z}_0}M$ contains a complex line.

For *M* in general position, the locus $N \subseteq M$ of CR singular points is a real analytic curve (codimension 2).

Some *M* are totally real: $N = \emptyset$.

 \mathbb{C}^3 has coordinates $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (x_1 + iy_1, x_2 + iy_2, x_3 + iy_3)$. Let M be a real analytic 3-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 . A CR singular point $\vec{z}_0 \in M$ is where $T_{\vec{z}_0}M$ contains a complex line.

For *M* in general position, the locus $N \subseteq M$ of CR singular points is a real analytic curve (codimension 2).

Some *M* are totally real: $N = \emptyset$.

Can *N* be an isolated point?

 \mathbb{C}^3 has coordinates $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (x_1 + iy_1, x_2 + iy_2, x_3 + iy_3)$. Let M be a real analytic 3-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 . A CR singular point $\vec{z}_0 \in M$ is where $T_{\vec{z}_0}M$ contains a complex line.

For *M* in general position, the locus $N \subseteq M$ of CR singular points is a real analytic curve (codimension 2).

Some *M* are totally real: $N = \emptyset$.

Can N be an isolated point?

Yes – Example by Ali Elgindi

 \mathbb{C}^3 has coordinates $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (x_1 + iy_1, x_2 + iy_2, x_3 + iy_3)$. Let M be a real analytic 3-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 . A CR singular point $\vec{z}_0 \in M$ is where $T_{\vec{z}_0}M$ contains a complex line.

For *M* in general position, the locus $N \subseteq M$ of CR singular points is a real analytic curve (codimension 2).

Some *M* are totally real: $N = \emptyset$.

Can *N* be an isolated point?

Yes – Example by Ali Elgindi

What happens to an isolated point under perturbations of M?

Related question in real analytic geometry

Exercise (easy)

Can a real analytic space curve have an acnode? More specifically, is there a real analytic variety in \mathbb{R}^3 (*xyz* space), with two defining functions and which contains (or is) an isolated point?

$$P_1(x, y, z) = 0$$

 $P_2(x, y, z) = 0$

Related question in real analytic geometry

Exercise (easy)

Can a real analytic space curve have an acnode? More specifically, is there a real analytic variety in \mathbb{R}^3 (*xyz* space), with two defining functions and which contains (or is) an isolated point?

$$P_1(x, y, z) = 0$$

$$P_2(x, y, z) = 0$$

Exercise (not as easy)

Is there a real analytic variety $\{P_1 = P_2 = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 with an isolated point \vec{a} that doesn't disappear under some small perturbations of the defining equations? For all t_1 , t_2 close to 0, the solution set is non-empty near \vec{a} :

$$P_1(x, y, z) = t_1$$

$$P_2(x, y, z) = t_2$$

Local defining equations in \mathbb{C}^3

Let *M* be a real analytic 3-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 with a CR singular point at $\vec{z_0} \in M$.

Local defining equations in \mathbb{C}^3

Let *M* be a real analytic 3-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 with a CR singular point at $\vec{z_0} \in M$.

After a translation $\vec{z_0} \mapsto \vec{0}$ and complex linear transformation, M is in standard position, where the tangent 3-plane is the subspace

 $\overline{\{(z_1, x_2 + i0, 0)\}},$

Let *M* be a real analytic 3-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 with a CR singular point at $\vec{z_0} \in M$.

After a translation $\vec{z_0} \mapsto \vec{0}$ and complex linear transformation, M is in standard position, where the tangent 3-plane is the subspace

 $\{(z_1, x_2 + i0, 0)\}$, and near the origin, *M* is described as a graph of real analytic functions:

$$\begin{array}{lll} y_2 &=& H_2(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_2), \\ &=& \alpha_2 z_1^2 + \beta_2 z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \gamma_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + \delta_2 z_1 x_2 + \epsilon_2 \bar{z}_1 x_2 + \theta_2 x_2^2 + O(3) \\ z_3 &=& h_3(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_2) \\ &=& \alpha_3 z_1^2 + \beta_3 z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \gamma_3 \bar{z}_1^2 + \delta_3 z_1 x_2 + \epsilon_3 \bar{z}_1 x_2 + \theta_3 x_2^2 + O(3). \end{array}$$

 H_2 , h_3 are real analytic (defined by convergent power series with complex coefficients, centered at the origin) functions of x_1 , y_1 , x_2 , or equivalently, z_1 , $\bar{z}_1 = x_1 - iy_1$, x_2 .

The normal form problem is to find representatives of equivalence classes under biholomorphic changes of coordinates.

The normal form problem is to find representatives of equivalence classes under biholomorphic changes of coordinates. Holomorphic transformations fixing the origin and the tangency of M to the (z_1, x_2) subspace take column vectors $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3)^T$ to

$$\tilde{z} = (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{z}_3)^T = \mathbf{A}_{3 \times 3} \vec{z} + \vec{p}(\vec{z}),$$

The normal form problem is to find representatives of equivalence classes under biholomorphic changes of coordinates. Holomorphic transformations fixing the origin and the tangency of M to the (z_1, x_2) subspace take column vectors $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3)^T$ to

$$\tilde{z} = (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{z}_3)^T = \mathbf{A}_{3 \times 3} \vec{z} + \vec{p}(\vec{z}),$$

where $\vec{p}(\vec{z}) = (p_1, p_2, p_3)^T$ is a column vector of 3 functions of 3 variables, each of which is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\vec{0}$ and has no constant or linear terms, and where **A**, the invertible linear part of the transformation, has matrix representation of the form

$$\mathbf{A}_{3\times3} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & r_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

The normal form problem is to find representatives of equivalence classes under biholomorphic changes of coordinates. Holomorphic transformations fixing the origin and the tangency of M to the (z_1, x_2) subspace take column vectors $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3)^T$ to

$$\tilde{z} = (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{z}_3)^T = \mathbf{A}_{3 \times 3} \vec{z} + \vec{p}(\vec{z}),$$

where $\vec{p}(\vec{z}) = (p_1, p_2, p_3)^T$ is a column vector of 3 functions of 3 variables, each of which is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\vec{0}$ and has no constant or linear terms, and where **A**, the invertible linear part of the transformation, has matrix representation of the form

$$\mathbf{A}_{3\times3} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & r_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

The defining equations in the new \tilde{z} coordinate system will still be in standard position but the goal is to find normal forms that expose the geometry of the equivalence classes.

Adam Coffman (IPFW)

Generalizing from 3 to n — For n-dimensional M in \mathbb{C}^n , we will still only consider points where the tangent space contains exactly one line (not a higher-dimensional complex subspace).

Generalizing from 3 to n — For n-dimensional M in \mathbb{C}^n , we will still only consider points where the tangent space contains exactly one line (not a higher-dimensional complex subspace). Local defining equations:

 $y_{\sigma} = H_{\sigma}(z_1, \overline{z}_1, x),$ $z_n = h_n(z_1, \overline{z}_1, x).$

 $x = (x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}), \quad \sigma = 2, \ldots, n-1.$

Generalizing from 3 to n — For n-dimensional M in \mathbb{C}^n , we will still only consider points where the tangent space contains exactly one line (not a higher-dimensional complex subspace). Local defining equations:

$$y_{\sigma} = H_{\sigma}(z_1, \overline{z}_1, x),$$

$$z_n = h_n(z_1, \overline{z}_1, x).$$

 $x = (x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}), \quad \sigma = 2, \ldots, n-1.$

Coordinate changes: $\tilde{z} = \mathbf{A}_{n \times n} \vec{z} + \vec{p}(\vec{z})$

Quadratic normal forms

Proposition

Given M with a CR singularity in standard position, there exists a holomorphic change of coordinates where:

$$y_{\sigma} = H_{\sigma}(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x) = b_{\sigma} z_1 \bar{z}_1 + O(3),$$

$$z_n = h_n(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x) = a(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) + bz_1 \bar{z}_1 + ic_{\beta} x_{\beta}(z_1 - \bar{z}_1) + O(3).$$

$$a \geq 0, \ b \in \{0,1\}, \ b_\sigma \in \{0,1\}.$$

Remark

Summation convention for $\beta = 2, \ldots, n-1$.

Also dropping the tilde notation, \tilde{z} , after changing coordinates.

Quadratic normal forms

Proposition

Given M with a CR singularity in standard position, there exists a holomorphic change of coordinates where:

$$y_{\sigma} = H_{\sigma}(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x) = b_{\sigma} z_1 \bar{z}_1 + O(3),$$

$$z_n = h_n(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x) = a(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) + b z_1 \bar{z}_1 + i c_{\beta} x_{\beta}(z_1 - \bar{z}_1) + O(3).$$

$$a \geq 0, \ b \in \{0,1\}, \ b_{\sigma} \in \{0,1\}.$$

Remark

If $b \neq 0$, then there is a further change of coordinates, with:

$$y_{\sigma} = H_{\sigma} = O(3),$$

$$z_{n} = h_{n} = z_{1}\bar{z}_{1} + \gamma(z_{1}^{2} + \bar{z}_{1}^{2}) + ic_{\beta}x_{\beta}(z_{1} - \bar{z}_{1}) + O(3).$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ is the well-known Bishop invariant, and $c_{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Surfaces in \mathbb{C}^2 :



Figure : Elliptic point $0 \le \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$

Surfaces in \mathbb{C}^2 :



Figure : Elliptic point $0 \le \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$



Figure : Hyperbolic point $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma \leq \infty$

The borderline case: Parabolic points with $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$



Figure :
$$z_2 = z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) = \frac{1}{2}(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)^2$$

The borderline case: Parabolic points with $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$



Figure :
$$z_2 = z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) = \frac{1}{2}(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)^2$$



Figure : with some cubic terms: $z_2 = z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) + i(\bar{z}_1 - z_1)z_1 \bar{z}_1$

Parabolic points with quartic terms:



Figure : $z_2 = z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) - (z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) z_1 \bar{z}_1$

Parabolic points with quartic terms:



Figure : $z_2 = z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) - (z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) z_1 \bar{z}_1$



Figure : $z_2 = z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) + (z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2)z_1 \bar{z}_1$

The \pm sign is a holomorphic invariant. Adam Coffman (IPFW)

CR singularities of real threefolds in \mathbb{C}^3

Back to *n*-manifolds in \mathbb{C}^n

For n > 2, and with the goal of finding examples of M with isolated complex tangents, consider parabolic points, and the cubic (& higher) terms of the defining equations:

Back to *n*-manifolds in \mathbb{C}^n

For n > 2, and with the goal of finding examples of M with isolated complex tangents, consider parabolic points, and the cubic (& higher) terms of the defining equations:

Proposition (Webster 1985)

Let $n \ge 3$. Given M with a parabolic CR singularity, there exists a coordinate system in which the defining equations are of the form:

$$y_{\sigma} = O(4), \quad \sigma = 2, \dots, n-1$$

$$z_{n} = z_{1}\bar{z}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}(z_{1}^{2} + \bar{z}_{1}^{2}) + ic_{2}x_{2}(z_{1} - \bar{z}_{1})$$

$$+(-i\eta(z_{1} + \bar{z}_{1}) + \eta_{\beta}x_{\beta})z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}$$

$$+iK_{\alpha\beta1}\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + O(4).$$

The quadratic coefficient c_2 is either 0 or 1, and is a biholomorphic invariant of M. Similarly, the cubic coefficient η is either 0 or 1, and is also a biholomorphic invariant. The coefficients η_β , $K_{\alpha\beta1}$ are real.

$$\begin{array}{lll} y_{\sigma} &= & H_{\sigma} \\ &= & B_{300}^{\sigma} z_{1}^{3} + B_{210}^{\sigma} z_{1}^{2} \bar{z}_{1} + B_{120}^{\sigma} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}^{2} + B_{030}^{\sigma} \bar{z}_{1}^{3} \\ &\quad + B_{20\alpha}^{\sigma} z_{1}^{2} x_{\alpha} + B_{11\alpha}^{\sigma} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} x_{\alpha} + B_{02\alpha}^{\sigma} \bar{z}_{1}^{2} x_{\alpha} \\ &\quad + B_{10\alpha\beta}^{\sigma} z_{1} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + B_{01\alpha\beta}^{\sigma} \bar{z}_{1} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\sigma} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} x_{\gamma} + O(4). \\ z_{n} &= & h_{n} = \frac{1}{2} (z_{1} + \bar{z}_{1})^{2} + i c_{2} x_{2} (z_{1} - \bar{z}_{1}) \\ &\quad + 0 z_{1}^{3} + -i \eta z_{1}^{2} \bar{z}_{1} + -i \eta z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}^{2} + 0 \bar{z}_{1}^{3} \\ &\quad + 0 z_{1}^{2} x_{\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} x_{\alpha} + 0 \bar{z}_{1}^{2} x_{\alpha} \\ &\quad + b_{10\alpha\beta} z_{1} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + i \mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta1} \bar{z}_{1} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + b_{\alpha\beta\gamma} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} x_{\gamma} + O(4). \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{\sigma} &= H_{\sigma} \\ &= B_{300}^{\sigma} z_{1}^{3} + B_{210}^{\sigma} z_{1}^{2} \bar{z}_{1} + B_{120}^{\sigma} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}^{2} + B_{030}^{\sigma} \bar{z}_{1}^{3} \\ &+ B_{20\alpha}^{\sigma} z_{1}^{2} x_{\alpha} + B_{11\alpha}^{\sigma} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} x_{\alpha} + B_{02\alpha}^{\sigma} \bar{z}_{1}^{2} x_{\alpha} \\ &+ B_{10\alpha\beta}^{\sigma} z_{1} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + B_{01\alpha\beta}^{\sigma} \bar{z}_{1} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\sigma} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} x_{\gamma} + O(4). \end{aligned}$$

$$z_{n} &= h_{n} = \frac{1}{2} (z_{1} + \bar{z}_{1})^{2} + i c_{2} x_{2} (z_{1} - \bar{z}_{1}) \\ &+ 0 z_{1}^{3} + -i \eta z_{1}^{2} \bar{z}_{1} + -i \eta z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}^{2} + 0 \bar{z}_{1}^{3} \\ &+ 0 z_{1}^{2} x_{\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} x_{\alpha} + 0 \bar{z}_{1}^{2} x_{\alpha} \\ &+ 0 z_{1} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + i \mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta1} \bar{z}_{1} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + b_{\alpha\beta\gamma} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} x_{\gamma} + O(4). \end{aligned}$$

$$y_{\sigma} = H_{\sigma}$$

$$= 0z_{1}^{3} + 0z_{1}^{2}\bar{z}_{1} + 0z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}^{2} + 0\bar{z}_{1}^{3}$$

$$+ 0z_{1}^{2}x_{\alpha} + 0z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha} + 0\bar{z}_{1}^{2}x_{\alpha}$$

$$+ 0z_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + 0\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + 0x_{\alpha}x_{\beta}x_{\gamma} + O(4).$$

$$z_{n} = h_{n} = \frac{1}{2}(z_{1} + \bar{z}_{1})^{2} + ic_{2}x_{2}(z_{1} - \bar{z}_{1})$$

$$+ 0z_{1}^{3} + -i\eta z_{1}^{2}\bar{z}_{1} + -i\eta z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}^{2} + 0\bar{z}_{1}^{3}$$

$$+ 0z_{1}^{2}x_{\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha}z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha} + 0\bar{z}_{1}^{2}x_{\alpha}$$

$$+ 0z_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + iK_{\alpha\beta1}\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + 0x_{\alpha}x_{\beta}x_{\gamma} + O(4).$$

$$y_{\sigma} = H_{\sigma}$$

$$= 0z_{1}^{3} + 0z_{1}^{2}\bar{z}_{1} + 0z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}^{2} + 0\bar{z}_{1}^{3}$$

$$+ 0z_{1}^{2}x_{\alpha} + 0z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha} + 0\bar{z}_{1}^{2}x_{\alpha}$$

$$+ 0z_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + 0\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + 0x_{\alpha}x_{\beta}x_{\gamma} + O(4).$$

$$z_{n} = h_{n} = \frac{1}{2}(z_{1} + \bar{z}_{1})^{2} + ic_{2}x_{2}(z_{1} - \bar{z}_{1})$$

$$+ 0z_{1}^{3} + -i\eta z_{1}^{2}\bar{z}_{1} + -i\eta z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}^{2} + 0\bar{z}_{1}^{3}$$

$$+ 0z_{1}^{2}x_{\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha}z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha} + 0\bar{z}_{1}^{2}x_{\alpha}$$

$$+ 0z_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + iK_{\alpha\beta1}\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + 0x_{\alpha}x_{\beta}x_{\gamma} + O(4).$$

Proposition (Webster 1985)

Let $n \ge 3$. Given M with a parabolic CR singularity, there exists a coordinate system in which the defining equations are of the form:

$$y_{\sigma} = O(4),$$

$$z_{n} = z_{1}\bar{z}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}(z_{1}^{2} + \bar{z}_{1}^{2}) + ic_{2}x_{2}(z_{1} - \bar{z}_{1})$$

$$+(-i\eta(z_{1} + \bar{z}_{1}) + \eta_{\beta}x_{\beta})z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}$$

$$+iK_{\alpha\beta1}\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + O(4).$$

 $c_2 \in \{0,1\}$, $\eta \in \{0,1\}$, $\eta_\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $K_{\alpha\beta 1} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition (Webster 1985)

Let $n \ge 3$. Given M with a parabolic CR singularity, there exists a coordinate system in which the defining equations are of the form:

$$y_{\sigma} = O(4),$$

$$z_{n} = z_{1}\bar{z}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}(z_{1}^{2} + \bar{z}_{1}^{2}) + ic_{2}x_{2}(z_{1} - \bar{z}_{1})$$

$$+(-i\eta(z_{1} + \bar{z}_{1}) + \eta_{\beta}x_{\beta})z_{1}\bar{z}_{1}$$

$$+iK_{\alpha\beta1}\bar{z}_{1}x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + O(4).$$

$$c_2 \in \{0,1\}$$
, $\eta \in \{0,1\}$, $\eta_\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $K_{lpha eta 1} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark

The normalization can be continued, depending on c_2 , η , in the following classification into 6 types of normal forms.

Cubic normal form for parabolic points in \mathbb{C}^n

	Case	normal form for h_n	comment
P1	$c_2 = 1$	$z_1 \overline{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \overline{z}_1^2) + i(z_1 - \overline{z}_1)x_2$	$\eta_eta \equiv 0$
	$\eta = 1$	$-i(z_1+ar{z}_1)z_1ar{z}_1+O(4)$	$K_{lphaeta 1}\equiv 0$
P2	$c_2 = 0$	$z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2)$	$\eta_{\beta} \equiv 0$
	$\eta = 1$	$-i(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)z_1\bar{z}_1 + iK_{\alpha}(z_1 - \bar{z}_1)x_{\alpha}^2 + O(4)$	$K_{lpha} \in \{\pm 1, 0\}$
			$\alpha=2,\ldots,n-1$
P3	$c_2 = 1$	$z_1 \overline{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \overline{z}_1^2) + i(z_1 - \overline{z}_1)x_2$	n > 4
	$\eta=$ 0	(2121 + 2(21 + 21) + 7(21 - 21)/2)	K 0
	$\eta_eta ot\equiv 0$	$+2_{1}2_{1}x_{3}+O(4)$	$\Lambda_{\alpha\beta1} \equiv 0$
P4	$c_{2} = 1$	$z_1 \overline{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \overline{z}_1^2) + i(z_1 - \overline{z}_1)x_2 + O(4)$	
	$\eta=$ 0		${\cal K}_{lphaeta 1}\equiv 0$
	$\eta_eta \equiv {\sf 0}$		
P5	$c_2 = 0$	$z_1 ar{z}_1 + rac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + ar{z}_1^2) \ + z_1 ar{z}_1 x_2 + i oldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}_lpha(z_1 - ar{z}_1) x_lpha^2 + O(4)$	$K \in (\pm 1, 0)$
	$\eta=$ 0		$\Lambda_{\alpha} \in \{\pm 1, 0\}$
	$\eta_eta ot\equiv 0$		$\alpha=3,\ldots,n-1$
P6	$c_2 = 0$		$K \subset \{\pm 1, 0\}$
	$\eta = 0$	$2121 + \frac{1}{2}(2_1 + 2_1) + iK_{\alpha}(z_1 - \overline{z}_1)x_{\alpha}^2 + O(4)$	$n_{\alpha} \in \{\pm 1, 0\}$
	$\eta_eta \equiv 0$		$\alpha = 2, \ldots, n-1$

Cubic normal form for parabolic points in \mathbb{C}^3 — first 4 of 8

For n = 3, get a complete list of 8 inequivalent cubic normal forms:

	Case	normal form for h_3	
P1	$c_{2} = 1$	$z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) + i(z_1 - \bar{z}_1)x_2$	
	$\eta=1$	$-i(z_1+ar{z}_1)z_1ar{z}_1+O(4)$	
P2a	$c_2 = 0$	$z_{1} \overline{z}_{1} + \frac{1}{z} (z^{2} + \overline{z}^{2})$	
	$\eta=1$	$(z_1 + \overline{z})z_1 + (z_1 + z_1)$	
	$K_2 = +1$	$-i(z_1+z_1)z_1z_1+i(z_1-z_1)x_2+O(4)$	
P2b	$c_2 = 0$	$z_{1} \overline{z}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}(z^{2} + \overline{z}^{2})$	
	$\eta=1$	$(z_1 + \overline{z}_1) + \overline{z}_2(z_1 + z_1)$	
	$K_2 = -1$	$-7(2_1+2_1)(2_1-7(2_1-2_1))(2_2+0)(4)$	
P2c	$c_2 = 0$	\overline{z} , \overline{z} , $\frac{1}{z}$, $\frac{1}{z^2}$, $\overline{z^2}$	
	$\eta=1$	$z_1 z_1 + \overline{z}(z_1 + z_1)$	
	$K_{2} = 0$	-7(21+21)(2121+0)(4)	

Remark

In the P2 case, the signature K_2 is a holomorphic invariant.

Adam Coffman (IPFW)

CR singularities of real threefolds in \mathbb{C}^3

Cubic normal form for parabolic points in \mathbb{C}^3 — last 4 of 8

P4	$egin{array}{c} c_2 = 1 \ \eta = 0 \end{array}$	$z_1\bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) + i(z_1 - \bar{z}_1)x_2 + O(4)$
P5	$egin{aligned} c_2 &= 0 \ \eta &= 0 \ \eta_2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$	$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
P6a	$egin{aligned} c_2 &= 0 \ \eta &= 0 \ \eta_2 &= 0 \ \mathcal{K}_2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$	$z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) \\ + i(z_1 - \bar{z}_1)x_2^2 + O(4)$
P6b	$c_2 = 0$ $\eta = 0$ $\eta_2 = 0$ $K_2 = 0$	$z_1 ar{z}_1 + rac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + ar{z}_1^2) + O(4)$

Remark

In the P6 case, the $K_2 = \pm 1$ signatures are equivalent. $(\tilde{z}_1 = -z_1)$

Lemma

For a real analytic n-manifold M in \mathbb{C}^n of the form

$$y_{\sigma} \equiv 0,$$

 $z_n = h_n(z_1, \overline{z}_1, x),$

a point $(z_1, x, h_n(z_1, \overline{z}_1, x)) \in M$ is a CR singular point $\iff (z_1, \overline{z}_1, x)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial n_n}{\partial \bar{z}_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x)=0.$$

Lemma

For a real analytic n-manifold M in \mathbb{C}^n of the form

$$y_{\sigma} \equiv 0,$$

 $z_n = h_n(z_1, \overline{z}_1, x),$

a point $(z_1, x, h_n(z_1, \overline{z}_1, x)) \in M$ is a CR singular point $\iff (z_1, \overline{z}_1, x)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial n_n}{\partial \bar{z}_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x)=0.$$

So the CR singular locus $N \subseteq M$ is usually a subset of codimension 2.

Recall the P2a normal form; consider the real algebraic variety $M^3 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y_2 &\equiv& 0,\\ z_3 &=& z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) - i (z_1 + \bar{z}_1) z_1 \bar{z}_1 + i (z_1 - \bar{z}_1) x_2^2. \end{array}$$

Recall the P2a normal form; consider the real algebraic variety $M^3 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y_2 &\equiv& 0,\\ z_3 &=& z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) - i (z_1 + \bar{z}_1) z_1 \bar{z}_1 + i (z_1 - \bar{z}_1) x_2^2. \end{array}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial \bar{z}_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x) = z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - iz_1^2 - 2iz_1\bar{z}_1 - ix_2^2$$

= $2x_1 + 2x_1y_1$
 $-i(3x_1^2 + y_1^2 + x_2^2)$

Recall the P2a normal form; consider the real algebraic variety $M^3 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y_2 &\equiv& 0,\\ z_3 &=& z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) - i (z_1 + \bar{z}_1) z_1 \bar{z}_1 + i (z_1 - \bar{z}_1) x_2^2. \end{array}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial \bar{z}_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x) = z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - iz_1^2 - 2iz_1\bar{z}_1 - ix_2^2$$

= $2x_1 + 2x_1y_1$
 $-i(3x_1^2 + y_1^2 + x_2^2)$

So N is an isolated point.

For a small real parameter t and complex constant μ , consider the family of real algebraic varieties in \mathbb{C}^3 , so M is the variety at t = 0:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y_2 &\equiv& 0,\\ z_3 &=& z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) - i (z_1 + \bar{z}_1) z_1 \bar{z}_1 + i (z_1 - \bar{z}_1) x_2^2 + \mu t (z_1 + \bar{z}_1). \end{array}$$

For a small real parameter t and complex constant μ , consider the family of real algebraic varieties in \mathbb{C}^3 , so M is the variety at t = 0:

$$y_2 \equiv 0,$$

$$z_3 = z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2} (z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2) - i(z_1 + \bar{z}_1) z_1 \bar{z}_1 + i(z_1 - \bar{z}_1) x_2^2 + \mu t(z_1 + \bar{z}_1).$$

Then (for each fixed t):

$$\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial \bar{z}_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x) = z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - iz_1^2 - 2iz_1\bar{z}_1 - ix_2^2 + \mu t$$

= $2x_1 + 2x_1y_1 + \operatorname{Re}(\mu)t$
 $-i(3x_1^2 + y_1^2 + x_2^2 - \operatorname{Im}(\mu)t)$

so *N* is empty for some μ and *t*.

Is there a real analytic variety $\{P_1 = P_2 = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 with an isolated point \vec{a} that doesn't disappear under some small perturbations of the defining equations? For all t_1 , t_2 close to 0, the solution set is non-empty near \vec{a} :

$$P_1(x, y, z) = t_1$$

$$P_2(x, y, z) = t_2$$

Is there a real analytic variety $\{P_1 = P_2 = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 with an isolated point \vec{a} that doesn't disappear under some small perturbations of the defining equations? For all t_1 , t_2 close to 0, the solution set is non-empty near \vec{a} :

$$P_1(x, y, z) = t_1$$

$$P_2(x, y, z) = t_2$$

Is there a real analytic variety $\{P_1 = P_2 = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 with an isolated point \vec{a} that doesn't disappear under some small perturbations of the defining equations? For all t_1 , t_2 close to 0, the solution set is non-empty near \vec{a} :

$$P_1(x, y, z) = t_1$$

$$P_2(x, y, z) = t_2$$

$$P_1(x, y, z) = 8x^2 + 8y^2 - z^2$$

$$P_2(x, y, z) = z(x^2 + y^2) - x^3$$

Is there a real analytic variety $\{P_1 = P_2 = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 with an isolated point \vec{a} that doesn't disappear under some small perturbations of the defining equations? For all t_1 , t_2 close to 0, the solution set is non-empty near \vec{a} :

$$P_1(x, y, z) = t_1$$

$$P_2(x, y, z) = t_2$$

$$P_1(x, y, z) = 8x^2 + 8y^2 - z^2$$
 Cone
 $P_2(x, y, z) = z(x^2 + y^2) - x^3$

Is there a real analytic variety $\{P_1 = P_2 = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 with an isolated point \vec{a} that doesn't disappear under some small perturbations of the defining equations? For all t_1 , t_2 close to 0, the solution set is non-empty near \vec{a} :

$$P_1(x, y, z) = t_1$$

$$P_2(x, y, z) = t_2$$

$$P_1(x, y, z) = 8x^2 + 8y^2 - z^2$$
 Cone
 $P_2(x, y, z) = z(x^2 + y^2) - x^3$ Cartan Umbrella

Graphics by Maple



Figure : left: $z(x^2 + y^2) - x^3 = 0$. middle: $z = \frac{x^3 - 0.01}{x^2 + y^2}$. right: $z = \frac{x^3 + 0.01}{x^2 + y^2}$.

References

- E. BISHOP, Differentiable manifolds in complex Euclidean space, Duke Math. J. (1) 32 (1965), 1–21. MR 0200476 (34 #369)
- A. COFFMAN, Unfolding CR Singularities, Memoirs of the AMS (962) 205 (2010). MR 2650710 (2011f:32077)
 - A. COFFMAN, Isolated CR singularities of real threefolds in \mathbb{C}^3 , work in progress, draft available on request.
- A. ELGINDI, On the Bishop invariants of embeddings of S^3 into \mathbb{C}^3 , New York J. Math. 20 (2014), 275–292. MR 3193954
- A. ELGINDI, A topological obstruction to the removal of a degenerate complex tangent and some related homotopy and homology groups, Internat. J. Math. (5) 26 (2015), 1550025, 16 pp. MR 3345506
- Maple 18, Waterloo Maple Inc., 2016. www.maplesoft.com
- J. MOSER and S. WEBSTER, Normal forms for real surfaces in \mathbb{C}^2 near complex tangents and hyperbolic surface transformations, Acta Mathematica (3–4) **150** (1983), 255–296. MR 0709143 (85c:32034)
- POV-Ray for Windows version 3.6.1c.icl8.win32, Persistence of Vision Raytracer Pty. Ltd., 2006. www.povray.org
- S. WEBSTER, The Euler and Pontrjagin numbers of an n-manifold in \mathbb{C}^n , Comment. Math. Helv. **60** (1985), 193–216. MR 0800003 (86m:32034)