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#### Abstract

CR singularities of real threefolds in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ are classified by using holomorphic coordinate changes to transform the quadratic part of the real defining equations into one of a list of normal forms. In the non-degenerate case, it is shown that a real analytic manifold near a CR singular point is formally equivalent to a real algebraic model. Some degenerate cases also have this property.


## 1. Introduction

If a real 3 -manifold $M$ is embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, then for each point $x$ on $M$ there are two possibilities: the tangent 3 -plane at $x$ may contain a complex line, so $M$ is said to be "CR singular" at $x$, or it may not, so $M$ is said to be "totally real" at $x$. This article will consider the local extrinsic geometry of a real analytically embedded $M$ near a CR singular point, by finding invariants under biholomorphic coordinate changes. The first main result is a classification of quadratic normal forms for the defining equations. The next result concerns the higher-order terms of the normal forms in the non-degenerate case. It will be shown that in this case, $M$ is formally equivalent to a fixed real algebraic variety, in the sense that there are holomorphic coordinate systems in which the defining equations for $M$ agree with the polynomial normal form to an arbitrarily high degree. The final result is a similar formal stability property for some of the degenerate CR singularities.

The analysis of normal forms near CR singular points is part of the program studying the local equivalence problem for real $m$-submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, as described in the survey paper, $[\mathrm{BER}]$. Normal forms for CR singular real $n$-manifolds in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, $n \geq 2$, have been considered by [Bishop], $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right],[\mathrm{M}]$, [W], and others, and for real surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^{n}(m=2, n \geq 3)$ by $\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$. A formal normal form for a CR singular real 4 -manifold in $\mathbb{C}^{5}$ was found by [Beloshapka] and $\left[\mathrm{C}_{1}\right]$. The case $m=3, n=4$ to be considered here was motivated in part by $\left[\mathrm{C}_{3}\right]$, which considers a certain family of maps $\mathbb{R} P^{2} \times \mathbb{R} P^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{4}$. Most of those maps are totally real embeddings, but some of the exceptional cases exhibit complex tangents.

## 2. A quadratic classification of CR singularities

Although we could consider real threefolds in any complex 4-manifold, we will only be considering a small neighborhood, so it will be convenient to regard the ambient complex space to be $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, with coordinates $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$. The real and imaginary parts of the coordinate functions are labeled $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$.
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### 2.1. A general quadratic normal form.

We begin by assuming $M$ is a real analytic three-dimensional submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ with a complex tangent at some point. By a translation that moves that point to the origin $\overrightarrow{0}$, and then a complex linear transformation of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, the tangent 3plane $T=T_{\overrightarrow{0}} M$ can be assumed to be the ( $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}$ )-space, which contains the $z_{1}$-axis. Then there is some neighborhood $\Delta$ of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ so that the defining equations of $M$ in $\Delta$ are in the form of a graph over a neighborhood of the origin in $T$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{2}=H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& z_{3}=h_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), \\
& z_{4}=h_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $H_{2}, h_{3}$ and $h_{4}$ are real analytic functions defined in a neighborhood of the origin in $T$, and vanishing to second order at $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(0,0,0)$, with $H_{2}$ realvalued and $h_{3}, h_{4}$ complex-valued. So, they are of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\alpha_{2} z_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma_{2} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\delta_{2} z_{1} x_{2}+\epsilon_{2} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+\theta_{2} x_{2}^{2}+E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
h_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\alpha_{3} z_{1}^{2}+\beta_{3} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma_{3} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\delta_{3} z_{1} x_{2}+\epsilon_{3} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+\theta_{3} x_{2}^{2}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
h_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\alpha_{4} z_{1}^{2}+\beta_{4} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma_{4} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\delta_{4} z_{1} x_{2}+\epsilon_{4} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+\theta_{4} x_{2}^{2}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ having terms of degree three or higher. These functions can be expressed as the restriction to $\left\{(z, \zeta, x) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}: \zeta=\bar{z}, x=\bar{x}\right\}$ of the three-variable series:

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{2}(z, \zeta, x)= & \alpha_{2} z^{2}+\beta_{2} z \zeta+\gamma_{2} \zeta^{2}+\delta_{2} z x+\epsilon_{2} \zeta x \\
& +\theta_{2} x^{2}+\sum_{a+b+c \geq 3} E_{2}^{a, b, c} z^{a} \zeta^{b} x^{c}  \tag{2.2}\\
h_{3}(z, \zeta, x)= & \alpha_{3} z^{2}+\beta_{3} z \zeta+\gamma_{3} \zeta^{2}+\delta_{3} z x+\epsilon_{3} \zeta x \\
& +\theta_{3} x^{2}+\sum_{a+b+c \geq 3} e_{3}^{a, b, c} z^{a} \zeta^{b} x^{c} \\
h_{4}(z, \zeta, x)= & \alpha_{4} z^{2}+\beta_{4} z \zeta+\gamma_{4} \zeta^{2}+\delta_{4} z x+\epsilon_{4} \zeta x \\
& +\theta_{4} x^{2}+\sum_{a+b+c \geq 3} e_{4}^{a, b, c} z^{a} \zeta^{b} x^{c}
\end{align*}
$$

each of which converges on the set $\left\{(z, \zeta, x):|z|<R_{1},|\zeta|<R_{1},|x|<R_{2}\right\}$ to a complex analytic function, but with $\gamma_{2}=\overline{\alpha_{2}}, \epsilon_{2}=\overline{\delta_{2}}, \beta_{2}=\overline{\beta_{2}}, \theta_{2}=\overline{\theta_{2}}$, and with similar restrictions on the coefficients of $E_{2}$, so that $E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is real-valued.

Definition 2.1. A (formal, with complex coefficient $C$ ) monomial of the form $C z^{a} \zeta^{b} x^{c}$ has "degree" $a+b+c$. A power series (convergent or formal) in three variables $e(z, \zeta, x)=\sum e^{a, b, c} z^{a} \zeta^{b} x^{c}$, is said to have "degree" $n$ if $e^{a, b, c}=0$ for all $(a, b, c)$ such that $a+b+c<n$. Sometimes a series of degree $n$ will be abbreviated $O(n)$. An ordered triple of series $(e, f, g)$ has degree $n$ if all its components have degree $n$.

Definition 2.2. Similarly for four variables, a monomial of the form $C z_{1}^{a} z_{2}^{b} z_{3}^{c} z_{4}^{d}$ has degree $a+b+c+d$, but we will more often work with the "weight," $a+b+2 c+$ 2d. A series $p\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)=\sum p^{a b c d} z_{1}^{a} z_{2}^{b} z_{3}^{c} z_{4}^{d}$ has "weight" $n$ if $p^{a b c d}=0$ when $a+b+2 c+2 d<n$.

We consider the effect of a coordinate change of the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{z}_{1} & =z_{1}+p_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
\tilde{z}_{2} & =z_{2}+p_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right) \\
\tilde{z}_{3} & =z_{3}+p_{3}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right) \\
\tilde{z}_{4} & =z_{4}+p_{4}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ are holomorphic functions with no linear or constant terms (so they have weight 2 ). Since this transformation of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ has its linear part equal to the identity map, it is invertible on some neighborhood of the origin. In the following calculations, we will neglect considering the size of that neighborhood, and consider only points close enough to the origin. We denote the real and imaginary parts of the new coordinates $\tilde{z}_{j}=\tilde{x}_{j}+\tilde{y}_{j}$.

As the first special case of a transformation of the form (2.3) to be used, let $p_{1}$ be identically zero and let $p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ be homogeneous quadratic polynomials. Given a point on $M$ near $\overrightarrow{0}$, its coordinates $\vec{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{4}\right)$ satisfy $\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}\right)-H_{2}=z_{3}-h_{3}=$ $z_{4}-h_{4}=0$. The new coordinates satisfy:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { 4) } & \tilde{z}_{3}-\left(\beta_{3} \tilde{z}_{1} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}+\gamma_{3} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{3} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
= & z_{3}+p_{3}(\vec{z})-\left(\beta_{3} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma_{3} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{3} \bar{z}_{1} \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}+p_{2}(\vec{z})\right)\right) \\
= & \alpha_{3} z_{1}^{2}+\delta_{3} z_{1} x_{2}+\theta_{3} x_{2}^{2} \\
& +p_{3}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i H_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4}\right)-\epsilon_{3} \bar{z}_{1} \operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i H_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4}\right)\right)+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
= & \alpha_{3} z_{1}^{2}+\delta_{3} z_{1} x_{2}+\theta_{3} x_{2}^{2}+p_{3}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, 0,0\right)+O(3) \\
= & \alpha_{3} \tilde{z}_{1}^{2}+\delta_{3} \tilde{z}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}+\theta_{3} \tilde{x}_{2}^{2}+p_{3}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2}, 0,0\right)+\tilde{O}(3),
\end{align*}
$$

where $O(3)$ denotes a convergent series of degree three or higher in $z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}$, and $\tilde{O}(3)$ denotes another series of degree three or higher in $\tilde{z}_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2}$ (also convergent, possibly on a different neighborhood). The last step of the above process converts back to the $\tilde{z}$ coordinates, using the fact that for points on $M$ sufficiently close to the origin, $z_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ can be expressed as real analytic functions of $\tilde{z}_{1}$ and $\tilde{x}_{2}$; the details are given by Lemma 2.3, below.

This calculation shows that the coefficients $\alpha_{3}, \delta_{3}, \theta_{3}$ can be altered to attain any complex values, by a suitable choice of $p_{3}$ - for example, the transformation $\tilde{z}_{3}=z_{3}-\alpha_{3} z_{1}^{2}-\delta_{3} z_{1} z_{2}-\theta_{3} z_{2}^{2}$ eliminates these terms, so that the defining equations in the $\tilde{z}$ coordinate system will be of the form $\tilde{z}_{3}=\beta_{3} \tilde{z}_{1} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}+\gamma_{3} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{3} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}+\tilde{O}(3)$. We note that such a quadratic transformation does not affect the quadratic coefficients of $H_{2}$ or $h_{4}$, but may change their higher-degree terms.

A similar calculation shows that the coefficients $\alpha_{4}, \delta_{4}, \theta_{4}$ can be altered arbitrarily by choice of $p_{4}$, without changing the quadratic terms of $H_{2}$ or $h_{3}$.

The quantity $p_{2}$ can be used to transform $H_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}-i \beta_{2} \tilde{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
= & \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}+p_{2}(\vec{z})-i \beta_{2} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right) \\
= & H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i H_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4}\right)\right)-\beta_{2} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} \\
= & \alpha_{2} z_{1}^{2}+\overline{\alpha_{2}} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\delta_{2} z_{1} x_{2}+\bar{\delta}_{2} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+\theta_{2} x_{2}^{2} \\
& +\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, 0,0\right)\right)+O(3) \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left(2 \alpha_{2} z_{1}^{2}+2 \delta_{2} z_{1} x_{2}+\theta_{2} x_{2}^{2}-i p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, 0,0\right)+O(3)\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left(2 \alpha_{2} \tilde{z}_{1}^{2}+2 \delta_{2} \tilde{z}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}+\theta_{2} \tilde{x}_{2}^{2}-i p_{2}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2}, 0,0\right)+\tilde{O}(3)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

the last step using Lemma 2.3 again. So, the complex coefficients $\alpha_{2}, \delta_{2}$ and the real coefficient $\theta_{2}$ can be altered arbitrarily by choice of $p_{2}$ - for example, the transformation $\tilde{z}_{2}=z_{2}-2 i \alpha_{2} z_{1}^{2}-2 i \delta_{2} z_{1} z_{2}-i \theta_{2} z_{2}^{2}$ eliminates these terms so that the defining equations in the $\tilde{z}$ coordinate system will be of the form $\operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)=$ $\beta_{2} \tilde{z}_{1} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}+\tilde{O}(3)$. Such a quadratic transformation does not change $\beta_{2}$, and does not affect the quadratic coefficients of $h_{3}$ or $h_{4}$, but may change their higher-degree terms.

So, the conclusion is that for any CR singular submanifold $M$, there exists a quadratic coordinate transformation of the form (2.3) with $p_{1}=0$, so that $M$ has the following general normal form. In a local coordinate system $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ in some neighborhood of the CR singularity, the defining equations of $M$ are of the form (2.1), with

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2}=H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\beta_{2} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+O(3)  \tag{2.6}\\
z_{3}=h_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\beta_{3} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma_{3} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{3} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+O(3) \\
z_{4}=h_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\beta_{4} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma_{4} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{4} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+O(3) .
\end{align*}
$$

The following Lemma states that for points on $M$, the coordinates $z_{1}, x_{2}$ can be expressed as real analytic functions of $\tilde{z}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2}$ in some neighborhood of $(0,0)$ in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, and that these functions depend on the defining equations of $M$ and the $p_{1}$, $p_{2}$ components of the coordinate transformation (2.3). We are interested mostly in the existence of the neighborhood and not its size, about which something could be said, given more information about $p_{1}, p_{2}, H_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4}$, in analogy with the results of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$.

Lemma 2.3. Given any functions $p_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right), p_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ which are holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ and have weight 2 , and given $H_{2}$, $h_{3}, h_{4}$ as in (2.2) which define $M$ in a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, there exist functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{3}: \mathbb{C}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are holomorphic on some neighborhood of the origin and which vanish to at least second order there, such that for points $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ on $M$ and sufficiently close to the origin, if $\tilde{z}_{1}=z_{1}+p_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ and $\tilde{z}_{2}=z_{2}+p_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{1} & =\tilde{z}_{1}+\phi_{1}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right) \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)+\phi_{3}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider the map $\tau$ that takes $(z, \zeta, x)$ to the ordered triple:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z+p_{1}\left(z, x+i H_{2}(z, \zeta, x), h_{3}(z, \zeta, x), h_{4}(z, \zeta, x)\right)\right. \\
& \zeta+p_{1}\left(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{x}+i H_{2}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}, \bar{x}), h_{3}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}, \bar{x}), h_{4}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}, \bar{x})\right) \\
& x
\end{aligned}+\frac{1}{2} p_{2}\left(z, x+i H_{2}(z, \zeta, x), h_{3}(z, \zeta, x), h_{4}(z, \zeta, x)\right) .
$$

Note that $\tau$ is holomorphic and invertible in a neighborhood of the origin of $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, with identity linear part. Its inverse is given by a function $\phi$ such that $\tau\left(\phi\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=$ $\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$, with

$$
\phi\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(z^{\prime}+\phi_{1}\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right), \zeta^{\prime}+\phi_{2}\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right), x^{\prime}+\phi_{3}\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Also note that if $\tau(z, \zeta, x)=\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$, then $\tau(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}, \bar{x})=\left(\bar{\zeta}^{\prime}, \bar{z}^{\prime}, \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)$. Denoting by $C$ the antiholomorphic involution of $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ where $C(z, \zeta, x)=(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}, \bar{x})$, it follows from $C \circ \tau \circ C=\tau$ that $C \circ \phi \circ C=\phi$ on some neighborhood of the origin of $\mathbb{C}^{3}$.

By construction, for $x_{2}=\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)= & \frac{\left(z_{1}+p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right.}{z_{1}+p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)} \\
& \left.x_{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If a point $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ is on $M$ and close enough to the origin, then it is of the form $\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{z}_{1} & =z_{1}+p_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right) \\
& =z_{1}+p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right), \\
\tilde{z}_{2} & =z_{2}+p_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right) \\
& =z_{2}+p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right), h_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\tau\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right)=\tau\left(\phi\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right)\right)$. The conclusion is that

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{1} & =\tilde{z}_{1}+\phi_{1}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right) \\
\bar{z}_{1} & =\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}+\phi_{2}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right)=\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}+\overline{\phi_{1}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right)} \\
x_{2} & =\operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)+\phi_{3}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)+\overline{\phi_{3}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2. The non-degenerate case.

Next, we consider some linear transformations of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, but only those which fix the tangent 3-plane $T$, so they are of the form

$$
\tilde{z}_{4 \times 1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{1} & * & * & *  \tag{2.7}\\
0 & r_{2} & * & * \\
0 & 0 & c_{3} & c_{4} \\
0 & 0 & c_{5} & c_{6}
\end{array}\right) \vec{z}_{4 \times 1}
$$

with complex entries such that $c_{1} r_{2} \neq 0, r_{2}=\overline{r_{2}}, c_{3} c_{6}-c_{4} c_{5} \neq 0$.
First, consider the linear coordinate change $\tilde{z}_{3}=c_{3} z_{3}+c_{4} z_{4}, \tilde{z}_{4}=c_{5} z_{3}+c_{6} z_{6}$. In this coordinate system, the coefficients of $H_{2}$ are not changed, and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{z}_{3} & =c_{3}\left(\beta_{3} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma_{3} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{3} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+O(3)\right)+c_{4}\left(\beta_{4} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma_{4} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{4} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+O(3)\right) \\
& =\left(c_{3} \beta_{3}+c_{4} \beta_{4}\right) \tilde{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\left(c_{3} \gamma_{3}+c_{4} \gamma_{4}\right) \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}^{2}+\left(c_{3} \epsilon_{3}+c_{4} \epsilon_{4}\right) \tilde{\tilde{z}}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}+\tilde{O}(3),
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\tilde{z}_{4}=\left(c_{5} \beta_{3}+c_{6} \beta_{4}\right) \tilde{z}_{1} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}+\left(c_{5} \gamma_{3}+c_{6} \gamma_{4}\right) \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}^{2}+\left(c_{5} \epsilon_{3}+c_{6} \epsilon_{4}\right) \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}+\tilde{O}(3) .
$$

At this point we introduce the "first non-degeneracy condition," which is satisfied if:

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\beta_{3} & \gamma_{3}  \tag{2.8}\\
\beta_{4} & \gamma_{4}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

so that there is a complex linear coordinate change transforming the general normal form (2.6) to:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2} & =\beta_{2} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
z_{3} & =\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{3} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
z_{4} & =z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\epsilon_{4} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, consider a linear transformation of the form $\tilde{z}_{2}=z_{2}+i r z_{4}$, for some real $r$, so that for $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ on $M$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
= & \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}+i r z_{4}\right) \\
= & H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(i r h_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & \beta_{2} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+E_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+r z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\operatorname{Re}\left(r \epsilon_{4} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(r e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(\beta_{2}+r\right) z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} r \epsilon_{4} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+\frac{1}{2} r \bar{\epsilon}_{4} z_{1} x_{2}+O(3) \\
= & \left(\beta_{2}+r\right) \tilde{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} r \epsilon_{4} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}+\frac{1}{2} r \bar{\epsilon}_{4} \tilde{z}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}+\tilde{O}(3) .
\end{align*}
$$

Even though $\tilde{z}_{2}=z_{2}+i r z_{4}$ is a linear transformation, the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied, since in this case $p_{2}(\vec{z})=\operatorname{ir} z_{4}$ has weight 2 , so $\tau(z, \zeta, x)$ is defined by:

$$
\left(z, \zeta, x+\frac{1}{2}\left(i r z \zeta+i r \epsilon_{4} \zeta x+i r e_{4}(z, \zeta, x)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \overline{\left(i r \bar{\zeta} \bar{z}+i r \epsilon_{4} \bar{z} \bar{x}+i r e_{4}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}, \bar{x})\right)}\right)
$$

which has identity linear part. By inspection of $(2.4)$ with $p_{2}(\vec{z})=i r z_{4}$, the transformation does not affect the quadratic coefficients of $h_{3}$ or $h_{4}$ but may change their higher-degree terms. Clearly, choosing $r=-\beta_{2}$ will eliminate the $\tilde{z}_{1} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}$ term, leaving only the $\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}$ and $\tilde{z}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}$ terms which can be eliminated by another quadratic transformation as in (2.5) without re-introducing a $\tilde{z}_{1} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}$ term.

Another linear transformation is $\tilde{z}_{1}=z_{1}+c z_{2}$, for some complex coefficient $c$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{z}_{4}-\tilde{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{1}= & z_{4}-\left(z_{1}+c z_{2}\right)\left(\bar{z}_{1}+\bar{c} \bar{z}_{2}\right) \\
= & \epsilon_{4} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& -c \bar{z}_{1}\left(x_{2}+i H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)-\bar{c} z_{1}\left(x_{2}-i \overline{H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)}\right) \\
& -c \bar{c}\left(x_{2}+i H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\left(x_{2}-i \overline{H_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)}\right) \\
= & \left(\epsilon_{4}-c\right) \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}-\bar{c} z_{1} x_{2}-c \bar{c} x_{2}^{2}+O(3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This time, the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 are not satisfied, since in this case $p_{1}(\vec{z})=$ $c z_{2}$ has weight 1 . The map $\tau(z, \zeta, x)$ defined by

$$
\left(z+c\left(x+i H_{2}(z, \zeta, x)\right), \zeta+\overline{c\left(\bar{x}+i H_{2}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}, \bar{x})\right)}, x\right)
$$

has an invertible linear part which is not the identity, but the inverse transformation is holomorphic on some neighborhood, and given by

$$
\phi\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(z^{\prime}-c x^{\prime}+\phi_{1}, \zeta^{\prime}-\bar{c} x^{\prime}+\phi_{2}, x^{\prime}+\phi_{3}\right)
$$

The conclusion analogous to Lemma 2.3 is then that $z_{1}=\tilde{z}_{1}-c \tilde{x}_{2}+\phi_{1}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2}\right)$, $x_{2}=\tilde{x}_{2}+\phi_{3}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}, \tilde{z}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2}\right)$ is real analytic, so the new $\tilde{z}_{4}$ equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{z}_{4} & =\tilde{z}_{1} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}+\left(\epsilon_{4}-c\right)\left(\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}-\bar{c} \tilde{x}_{2}\right) \tilde{x}_{2}-\bar{c}\left(\tilde{z}_{1}-c \tilde{x}_{2}\right) \tilde{x}_{2}-c \bar{c} \tilde{x}_{2}^{2}+\tilde{O}(3) \\
& =\tilde{z}_{1} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}+\left(\epsilon_{4}-c\right) \overline{\tilde{z}_{1}} \tilde{x}_{2}-\bar{c} \tilde{z}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}+\left(-\epsilon_{4} \bar{c}+c \bar{c}\right) \tilde{x}_{2}^{2}+\tilde{O}(3) . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This transformation does not introduce any quadratic terms in $H_{2}$, but it does change $h_{3}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\tilde{z}_{3}-\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}^{2}= & z_{3}-\left(\bar{z}_{1}+\bar{c} \bar{z}_{2}\right)^{2} \\
= & \epsilon_{3} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& -2 \bar{c} \bar{z}_{1}\left(x_{2}-i \bar{H}_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(\bar{c}^{2}\left(x_{2}-i \overline{H_{2}}\right) \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}-\bar{c}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)^{2}(3) .
$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we see $c$ can be chosen to eliminate the $\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}$ term from either the $h_{3}$ or the $h_{4}$ series, but it is unlikely that the transformation will simultaneously eliminate the $\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}$ term from both. At this point, we choose to eliminate $\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}$ term from $h_{3}$ by selecting $c=\overline{\epsilon_{3}} / 2$, and then another transformation as in (2.4) with suitable $p_{3}, p_{4}$ will clean up the quadratic terms introduced above, giving the following quadratic normal form for any $M$ satisfying the first non-degeneracy condition:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2} & =E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)=O(3)  \tag{2.13}\\
z_{3} & =\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
z_{4} & =\left(z_{1}+\epsilon_{4} x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The "second non-degeneracy condition" is that $\epsilon_{4} \neq 0$, after $M$ is put into the above normal form (so the first non-degeneracy condition is assumed). In this case, an invertible linear coordinate change of the form $\tilde{z}_{1}=c_{1} z_{1}, \tilde{z}_{3}=c_{3} z_{3}, \tilde{z}_{4}=c_{6} z_{4}$ gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{y}_{2}=E_{2}\left(\frac{\tilde{z}_{1}}{c_{1}}, \frac{\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}}{\overline{c_{1}}}, \tilde{x}_{2}\right)=\tilde{O}(3)  \tag{2.14}\\
& \tilde{z}_{3}=c_{3}\left(\left(\frac{\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}}{\overline{c_{1}}}\right)^{2}+e_{3}\left(\frac{\tilde{z}_{1}}{c_{1}}, \frac{\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}}{\overline{c_{1}}}, \tilde{x}_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \tilde{z}_{4}=c_{6}\left(\frac{\tilde{z}_{1}}{c_{1}} \frac{\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}}{\bar{c}_{1}}+\epsilon_{4} \frac{\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}}{\overline{c_{1}}} \tilde{x}_{2}+e_{4}\left(\frac{\tilde{z}_{1}}{c_{1}}, \frac{\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}}{\overline{c_{1}}}, \tilde{x}_{2}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and choosing $\left(c_{1}, c_{3}, c_{6}\right)=\left(1 / \epsilon_{4}, 1 /{\overline{\epsilon_{4}}}^{2}, 1 /\left|\epsilon_{4}\right|^{2}\right)$ normalizes the coefficient of $\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2}$ in $h_{4}$ to 1 .
2.3. Classifying the degenerate cases. Returning to (2.6), suppose that the determinant from (2.8) is zero. Then a linear transformation (2.7) could put the rank 1 coefficient matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\beta_{3} & \gamma_{3} \\ \beta_{4} & \gamma_{4}\end{array}\right)$ into one of three normal forms, taking the defining equations (2.6) to one of the following cases:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2} & =\beta_{2} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
z_{3} & =z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma_{3} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{3} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
z_{4} & =\epsilon_{4} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& y_{2}=\beta_{2} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& z_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{3} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& z_{4}=\epsilon_{4} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2} & =\beta_{2} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)  \tag{2.17}\\
z_{3} & =\epsilon_{3} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
z_{4} & =\epsilon_{4} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In (2.15), a re-scaling as in (2.14) can transform the coefficient $\gamma_{3}$ to a non-negative real number, resembling Bishop's invariant of surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, ([Bishop]). The $z_{3}$ expression in (2.16) could be considered the " $\gamma_{3}=\infty$ " case of (2.15). If $\epsilon_{4} \neq$ 0 , another linear transformation of the $z_{3}, z_{4}$ coordinates could re-scale $\epsilon_{4}=1$ and eliminate $\epsilon_{3}$ from (2.15), (2.16), or (2.17). Otherwise, if $\epsilon_{4}=0, \epsilon_{3}$ could be eliminated from (2.15) or (2.16) by a linear transformation of the form $\tilde{z}_{1}=z_{1}+c z_{2}$ as in (2.12), unless $\gamma_{3}=\frac{1}{2}$ in (2.15), where only the real part of $\epsilon_{3}$ can be eliminated, and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\epsilon_{3}\right)$ can be re-scaled to 1 or 0 . The $\gamma_{3}=\frac{1}{2}, \epsilon_{3}=i, \epsilon_{4}=0$ case (type (IVp) in the table below) corresponds to the parabolic normal form of [W]. In (2.17), if $\epsilon_{4}=0, \epsilon_{3}$ could be re-scaled to be either 1 or 0 . In (2.15), a linear transformation of the form $\tilde{z}_{2}=z_{2}+i r z_{3}$ as in (2.10) will eliminate $\beta_{2}$. In the other cases, $\beta_{2}$ can only be re-scaled to be either 1 or 0 .

To summarize, for any real threefold $M$ in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ with a CR singular point, there is some coordinate system in a neighborhood of that point so that the defining equations of $M$ in that neighborhood are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}=Q_{2}+E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& z_{3}=q_{3}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& z_{4}=q_{4}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ vanish to third order, $E_{2}$ is real-valued, and $Q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}$ are quadratic quantities falling into exactly one form from the following list.

| (I) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline Q_{2}=0 \\ & q_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2} \\ & q_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2} \bar{z}_{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} \\ & q_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2} \\ & q_{4}=\bar{z}_{1} x_{2} \end{aligned}$ | (IX) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline Q_{2}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} \\ & q_{3}=0 \\ & q_{4}=\bar{z}_{1} x_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (II) | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=0 \\ & q_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2} \\ & q_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} \\ & q_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2} \\ & q_{4}=0 \end{aligned}$ | (X) | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} \\ & q_{3}=0 \\ & q_{4}=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| (III) | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=0 \\ & q_{3}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, \gamma \geq 0 \\ & q_{4}=\bar{z}_{1} x_{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=0 \\ & q_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2} \\ & q_{4}=\bar{z}_{1} x_{2} \end{aligned}$ | (XI) | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=0 \\ & q_{3}=0 \\ & q_{4}=\bar{z}_{1} x_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| (IV) | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=0 \\ & q_{3}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\gamma \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, \gamma \geq 0 \\ & q_{4}=0 \end{aligned}$ | (VIII) | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=0 \\ & q_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2} \\ & q_{4}=0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=0 \\ & q_{3}=0 \\ & q_{4}=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\overline{(\mathrm{IVp})}$ | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{2}=0 \\ & q_{3}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+i \bar{z}_{1} x_{2} \\ & q_{4}=0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

Type (I) is the non-degenerate quadratic normal form, (2.13) with $\epsilon_{4}$ scaled to 1 as in (2.14). Type (II) is the quadratic normal form for a manifold satisfying the first non-degeneracy condition but not the second, so $\epsilon_{4}=0$ in (2.13). The middle column has the $\gamma=\infty$ cases.
2.4. Examples. Most real analytic threefolds in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ are totally real at every point - a CR singularity is topologically unstable, in the sense that a real manifold with a CR singular point can be perturbed by a small amount to become totally
real. However, simple examples of CR singular submanifolds in complex Euclidean 4 -space are not hard to construct and we consider a few here.

Example 2.4. Singularities of type (I), (III) with $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, (VII), and (XI) can occur in projections of the real Segre threefold from $\mathbb{C} P^{5}$ to $\mathbb{C} P^{4}$, as shown in $\left[\mathrm{C}_{3}\right]$.

Example 2.5. Among the three-dimensional real affine subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, most are totally real. The rest, those that contain a complex line, are related to the $z_{1}, x_{2^{-}}$ subspace by some complex affine transformation, and have a type (XII) singularity at every point.

Example 2.6. Considering the Euclidean 3-sphere contained inside some fourdimensional real affine subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, again such a subspace in general position is totally real, and so is the sphere it contains. One exceptional case is that the real affine subspace is contained in a complex 3 -subspace but is not itself a complex subspace. In this case, the real subspace necessarily contains a complex line, and the standard hypersphere is CR singular along a real circle. A complex affine coordinate change takes a such a sphere to $\left\{x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\left(x_{3}-1\right)^{2}=1\right\}$ inside the $z_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$-subspace, and the CR singular locus is the circle of points on the sphere with $z_{1}=0$. In a neighborhood of the origin, the defining equations of the sphere become $y_{2}=y_{3}=z_{4}=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{3} & =1-\sqrt{1-x_{1}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}} \\
\Longrightarrow z_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+O(4) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a type (IV) singularity with $\gamma=0$. More generally, the quadric $\left\{a x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.x_{2}^{2}+\left(x_{3}-1\right)^{2}=1\right\}$, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, has a CR singularity of type (IV) with $\gamma=\left|\frac{a-1}{2(a+1)}\right|$ for $a \neq-1$, or type (VIII) for $a=-1$. So, each $\gamma$ in the range $[0, \infty]$ has a representative of this form, and it is exactly the well-known Bishop invariant for $n$-manifolds in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, with $n=3$.

Example 2.7. The other exceptional case of a four-dimensional real affine subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ is that it is a two-dimensional complex affine subspace. Then, a standard 3 -sphere that it contains is a real hypersurface in a complex 2 -manifold, so it has a complex tangent at every point, and is CR singular at every point when considered as a real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. A complex affine coordinate change takes such a sphere to $\left\{x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\left(y_{2}-1\right)^{2}=1\right\}$ in the $z_{1}, z_{2}$-subspace, and in a neighborhood of the origin, the defining equations of the sphere become $z_{3}=z_{4}=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2} & =1-\sqrt{1-x_{1}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+O(4)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a type $(\mathrm{X})$ singularity. Again generalizing to the quadric $\left\{a x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\left(y_{2}-1\right)^{2}=1\right\}$, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the CR singularity is of type ( X ) for all $a$ except $a=-1$, where the $z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}$ term has coefficient 0 and the quadratic normal form is of type (XII).

## 3. Higher-order terms in the non-degenerate case

After a transformation as in the previous Section, the equations near a nondegenerate (type (I)) CR singularity are in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2} & =E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
z_{3} & =\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
z_{4} & =\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ have degree 3 in $z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}$, and are real analytic, converging for $z_{1}$, $x_{2}$ in some neighborhood of the origin in the $z_{1}, x_{2} 3$-space. It will be shown in this Section that there exists $\vec{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right)$ defining a coordinate transformation as in (2.3) such that the defining equations in the new coordinates are as in (3.1), but with $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ vanishing to any degree $n, n \geq 3$.

We will consider formal coordinate transformations $\vec{p}$ as in (2.3) where $p_{1}$ has weight 2 and $p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ have weight 3 . We will think of these transformations as having identity linear part, although a weight 2 transformation of $z_{1}$ could have linear terms of the form $\tilde{z}_{1}=z_{1}+c_{3} z_{3}+c_{4} z_{4}$. The effect of the transformation is that for points on $M$, of the form $\vec{z}=\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}+p_{2}(\vec{z})\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
= \\
=E_{2}\left(x_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\right) \\
=  \tag{3.3}\\
\tilde{z}_{3}-\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}^{2}=z_{3}+p_{3}(\vec{z})-{\left.\overline{z_{1}}, x_{1}+p_{1}(\vec{z})\right)}^{2} \\
=e_{3}+p_{3}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \bar{p}_{1}-\bar{p}_{1}^{2},  \tag{3.4}\\
=z_{4}+p_{4}(\vec{z})-\left(z_{1}+p_{1}(\vec{z})+x_{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}(\vec{z})\right)\right) \overline{\left(z_{1}+p_{1}(\vec{z})\right)} \\
\tilde{z}_{4}-\left(\tilde{z}_{1}+\tilde{x}_{2}\right) \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \\
=z_{4}-\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{p}_{1}-\bar{z}_{1}\left(p_{1}+\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}\right)\right)-p_{1} \overline{p_{1}}-\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}\right) \overline{p_{1}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The "normal form" problem is then the following: given convergent series $E_{2}$, $e_{3}, e_{4}$ as in (3.1), with degree $\geq 3$, find series $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ as in (2.3) which are solutions of these non-linear equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
0= & e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+p_{3}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right) \\
& -2 \bar{z}_{1} p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right) \\
& -\bar{p}_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)^{2} \\
0= & e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+p_{4}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right) \\
& -\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right) \\
& -\bar{z}_{1} p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right) \\
& -\bar{z}_{1} \operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)\right) \\
& -\left|p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)\right|^{2}-\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}(\vec{z})\right) \overline{p_{1}(\vec{z}) .}
\end{align*}
$$

If, given $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$, we can find series solutions $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ of the above equations, which converge on some neighborhood of $\overrightarrow{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{4}$, then $M$ is "analytically equivalent" to the "polynomial model" $\left\{\tilde{y}_{2}=0, \tilde{z}_{3}=\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}^{2}, \tilde{z}_{4}=\left(\tilde{z}_{1}+\tilde{x}_{2}\right) \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}\right\}$. More
generally, if there exists any formal series solution, then we can say $M$ is "formally equivalent" to the polynomial model.

Theorem 3.1. Given $M$ with the non-degenerate quadratic normal form (type (I), as in (3.1)), there exist formal series $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ which are solutions of the system of equations (3.5), so that $M$ is formally equivalent to the polynomial model.

Proof. The idea is to iterate the following step: given series $\vec{e}=\left(E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ of degree $n \geq 3$, we will find a transformation (2.3) using series $\vec{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right)$, called an "approximate solution," so that the defining expressions in the new coordinates have degree $\geq n+1$. The existence of a formal transformation follows, since its terms up to any degree can be determined by composing sufficiently many of the approximate solutions.

We begin by replacing (3.5) by a related system of linear equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
0= & e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+p_{3}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right) \\
& -2 \bar{z}_{1} p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right) \\
0= & e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+p_{4}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right) \\
& -\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \overline{p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)} \\
& -\bar{z}_{1}\left(p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By comparing (3.5) with (3.6), we see that if $\vec{e}$ has degree $n$, and $\vec{p}$ is an exact solution of (3.6), with the weight of $p_{1}$ equal to $n-1$ and the weight of $p_{2}, p_{3}$, and $p_{4}$ equal to $n$, then evaluating the RHS of (3.5) with this $\vec{p}$ will result in an expression with degree $2 n-2$ (which is $\geq n+1$ for $n \geq 3$ ). So, a solution of (3.6) is an approximate solution of (3.5). The degree $2 n-2$ quantity, if converted back to the $\tilde{z}$ coordinates using Lemma 2.3, will still have degree $\geq 2 n-2$, and, returning to Equations (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), will be the higher-order part of the defining equations in the new coordinate system.

The remainder of the Proof will be the construction of such an approximate solution, assuming $n \geq 3$.

We start with the first equation from the system (3.6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The real-valued real analytic function $E_{2}$ can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\sum e_{2}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
& =e_{2 A}+\overline{e_{2 A}}+e_{2 B}+e_{2 C}+\overline{e_{2 C}}+e_{2 D}+\overline{e_{2 D}}+e_{2 E},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{2}$ has degree $n$ (as in Definition 2.1), $e_{2}^{b a c}=\overline{e_{2}^{a b c}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{2 A} & =\sum_{a>b, b \text { even }} e_{2}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
e_{2 B} & =\sum_{a \text { even }} e_{2}^{a a c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{a} x_{2}^{c} \\
e_{2 C} & =\sum_{a>b, a \text { even, } b \text { odd }} e_{2}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
e_{2 D} & =\sum_{a>b, a, b \text { odd }} e_{2}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
e_{2 E} & =\sum_{a \text { odd }} e_{2}^{a a c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{a} x_{2}^{c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We further rearrange $e_{2 D}$ and $e_{2 E}$, to get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{2 D} & =\left(1+\frac{x_{2}}{z_{1}}\right) e_{2 D}-\frac{x_{2}}{z_{1}} e_{2 D}=e_{2 F}+e_{2 G}, \\
e_{2 F} & =\sum_{a>b, a, b \text { odd }} e_{2}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a-1}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{1}^{b-1} x_{2}^{c} \\
e_{2 G} & =-\sum_{a>b, a, b \text { odd }} e_{2}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a-1} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c+1}, \\
e_{2 E} & =\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{x_{2}}{2 z_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{x_{2}}{2 \bar{z}_{1}}\right) e_{2 E}-\left(\frac{x_{2}}{2 z_{1}}+\frac{x_{2}}{2 \bar{z}_{1}}\right) e_{2 E}=e_{2 H}+\overline{e_{2 H}}+e_{2 I}+\overline{e_{2 I}}, \\
e_{2 H} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \text { odd }} e_{2}^{a a c} z_{1}^{a-1} \bar{z}_{1}^{a-1}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{c}, \\
e_{2 I} & =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \text { odd }} e_{2}^{a a c} z_{1}^{a-1} \bar{z}_{1}^{a} x_{2}^{c+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

so
$E_{2}=e_{2 A}+\overline{e_{2 A}}+e_{2 B}+e_{2 C}+\overline{e_{2 C}}+e_{2 F}+\overline{e_{2 F}}+e_{2 G}+\overline{e_{2 G}}+e_{2 H}+\overline{e_{2 H}}+e_{2 I}+\overline{e_{2 I}}$.
To find a function $p_{2}$ satisfying (3.7), it is enough to consider a weight $n$ expression of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right) & =p_{2 A}+p_{2 B}+p_{2 C}+p_{2 D}+p_{2 E},  \tag{3.8}\\
p_{2 A} & =\sum_{\alpha>2 \gamma, \alpha \text { even }} p_{2 A}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma} z_{4} \\
p_{2 B} & =\sum p_{2 B}^{\beta \gamma} z_{1}^{2 \gamma} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma} z_{4} \\
p_{2 C} & =\sum_{\alpha>2 \gamma} p_{2 C}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma} \\
p_{2 D} & =\sum p_{2 D}^{\beta \gamma} z_{1}^{2 \gamma} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma} \\
p_{2 E} & =\sum_{\alpha<2 \gamma, \alpha \text { odd }} p_{2 E}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then Equation (3.7) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & e_{2 A}+\overline{e_{2 A}}+e_{2 B}+e_{2 C}+\overline{e_{2 C}} \\
& +e_{2 F}+\overline{e_{2 F}}+e_{2 G}+\overline{e_{2 G}}+e_{2 H}+\overline{e_{2 H}}+e_{2 I}+\overline{e_{2 I}} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\sum_{\alpha>2 \gamma} p_{2 A}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}-\sum_{\alpha>2 \gamma} \overline{p_{2 A}^{\alpha \beta \gamma}} \bar{z}_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} z_{1}^{2 \gamma}\left(\bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}\right) z_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\sum_{2 B} p_{2 B}^{\beta \gamma} z_{1}^{2 \gamma} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}-\sum \overline{p_{2 B}^{\beta \gamma}} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma} x_{2}^{\beta} z_{1}^{2 \gamma}\left(\bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}\right) z_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\sum_{\alpha>2 \gamma} p_{2 C}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}-\sum_{\alpha>2 \gamma} \overline{p_{2 C}^{\alpha \beta \gamma}} \bar{z}_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} z_{1}^{2 \gamma}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\sum_{2<2 \gamma} p_{2 D}^{\beta \gamma} z_{1}^{2 \gamma} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}-\sum_{p_{2 D}^{\beta \gamma}}^{p_{2}^{\beta \gamma}} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma} x_{2}^{\beta} z_{1}^{2 \gamma}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\sum_{\alpha<2 \gamma} p_{2 E}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}-\sum_{\alpha<2 \gamma} \overline{p_{2 E}^{\alpha \beta \gamma}} \bar{z}_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} z_{1}^{2 \gamma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By construction, the existence of a (formal) solution $p_{2}$ of this equation follows from a straightforward comparison of coefficients.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha>2 \gamma} p_{2 A}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1} & =-2 i e_{2 F} \\
& =-2 i\left(1+\frac{x_{2}}{z_{1}}\right) e_{2 D} \\
\sum p_{2 B}^{\beta \gamma} z_{1}^{2 \gamma} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1} & =-2 i e_{2 H} \\
& =-i\left(1+\frac{x_{2}}{z_{1}}\right) e_{2 E} \\
\sum_{\alpha>2 \gamma} p_{2 C}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma} & =-2 i\left(e_{2 A}+\overline{e_{2 I}}\right) \\
& =-2 i e_{2 A}+i \frac{x_{2}}{\bar{z}_{1}} e_{2 E} \\
\sum p_{2 D}^{\beta \gamma} z_{1}^{2 \gamma} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}-\sum_{\alpha<2 \gamma} \overline{p_{2 D}^{\beta \gamma}} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma} x_{2}^{\beta} z_{1}^{2 \gamma} & =-2 i e_{2 B} \\
p_{2 E}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma} & =-2 i\left(\overline{e_{2 C}}+\overline{e_{2 G}}\right) \\
& =-2 i \overline{e_{2 C}}+2 i \frac{x_{2}}{\bar{z}_{1}} \overline{e_{2 D}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This determines $p_{2}$ by giving a formula for each coefficient, using a convention that $e_{2}^{a b c}=0$ if $c<0$, and recalling the normalization (3.8), so that the terms that do not appear in (3.8) have coefficient 0 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{2 A}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} & =-2 i e_{2}^{\alpha+1,2 \gamma+1, \beta} \\
p_{2 B}^{\beta \gamma} & =-i e_{2}^{2 \gamma+1,2 \gamma+1, \beta} \\
p_{2 C}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} & =-2 i e_{2}^{\alpha, 2 \gamma, \beta}, \text { if } \alpha>2 \gamma+1 \\
& =-2 i e_{2}^{\alpha, 2 \gamma, \beta}+i e_{2}^{2 \gamma+1,2 \gamma+1, \beta-1}, \text { if } \alpha=2 \gamma+1 \\
p_{2 D}^{\beta \gamma} & =-i e_{2}^{2 \gamma, 2 \gamma, \beta} \\
p_{2 E}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} & =-2 i \overline{e_{2}^{2 \gamma, \alpha, \beta}}+2 i \overline{e_{2}^{2 \gamma+1, \alpha, \beta-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As mentioned earlier, only the terms of weight less than $2 n-2$ are of interest, and we will not consider the higher order terms or issues of convergence, and instead only try to find formal series solutions of formal series equations. The remaining unknowns, $p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{4}$, will depend on all three functions $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$. We can assume that the solution we want will be of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1} & =p_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=p_{1 A}+p_{1 B}+p_{1 C}+p_{1 D},  \tag{3.9}\\
p_{1 A} & =\sum_{1 A} p_{1 A}^{\gamma} z_{3}^{\gamma} \\
p_{1 B} & =\sum_{\alpha>0, \alpha \text { even }} p_{1 B}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma}, \\
p_{1 C} & =\sum_{\beta>0} p_{1 C}^{\beta \gamma} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma}, \\
p_{1 D} & =\sum_{\alpha \text { odd }} p_{1 D}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma}, \\
p_{3} & =p_{3 A}+p_{3 B} \\
p_{3 A} & =\sum p_{3 A}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma}, \\
p_{3 B} & =\sum p_{3 B}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma} z_{4}, \\
p_{4} & =p_{4 A}+p_{4 B} \\
p_{4 A} & =\sum p_{4 A}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma}, \\
p_{4 B} & =\sum p_{4 B}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} z_{2}^{\beta} z_{3}^{\gamma} z_{4},
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{1}$ has weight $n-1$, and does not depend on $z_{4}$, and $p_{3}, p_{4}$ have weight $n$.
We next turn to the third equation from the system (3.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+p_{4}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& -\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \overline{p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}\right)} \\
& -\bar{z}_{1}\left(p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $e_{4}$ is given and $p_{2}$ has already been found, we combine these to get a quantity $f_{4}$, and then break the series into subseries:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{4} & =e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)-\frac{\bar{z}_{1}}{2}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)+\overline{p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{4} f_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
& =f_{4 A}+f_{4 B}+f_{4 C}+f_{4 D}+f_{4 E}, \\
f_{4 A} & =\sum_{b \text { even }} f_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
f_{4 B} & =\sum_{a \text { odd, } b \text { odd }} f_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}, \\
f_{4 C} & =\sum_{a>0, a \text { even, } b \text { odd }} f_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}, \\
f_{4 D} & =\sum_{c>0, b \text { odd }} f_{4}^{0 b c} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
f_{4 E} & =\sum_{b \text { odd }} f_{4}^{0 b 0} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $e_{4}$ has degree $n$ and the quantity $\frac{\bar{z}_{1}}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)\right)$ has degree $n+1$. Equation (3.10) then becomes
$0=f_{4 A}+f_{4 B}+f_{4 C}+f_{4 D}+f_{4 E}+p_{4}-\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \overline{p_{1}}-\bar{z}_{1}\left(p_{1 A}+p_{1 B}+p_{1 C}+p_{1 D}\right)$,
and by inspection, there are only two parts of this expression with $\bar{z}_{1}^{b}$ terms, so we can conclude

$$
0=f_{4 E}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 A}=\sum_{b \text { odd }} f_{4}^{0 b 0} \bar{z}_{1}^{b}-\bar{z}_{1} \sum p_{1 A}^{\gamma} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}
$$

and this determines the coefficients of $p_{1 A}: p_{1 A}^{\gamma}=f_{4}^{0,2 \gamma+1,0}$. The remaining linear equation from the system (3.6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+p_{3}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}\right)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we break $e_{3}$ into subseries,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{3} & =e_{3 A}+e_{3 B}+e_{3 C}+e_{3 D}+e_{3 E}, \\
e_{3 A} & =\sum_{b \text { even }} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}, \\
e_{3 B} & =\sum_{a \text { odd, } b \text { odd }} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}, \\
e_{3 C} & =\sum_{a \text { even, } b \text { odd, } b>1} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}, \\
e_{3 D} & =\sum_{a \text { even, } c>0} e_{3}^{a 1 c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{c}, \\
e_{3 E} & =\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0} e_{3}^{a 10} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and we further rearrange the $e_{3 B}$ quantity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{3 B} & =e_{3 F}+e_{3 G}+e_{3 H} \\
e_{3 F} & =\sum_{a \text { odd, } b \text { odd }} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a-1}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
e_{3 G} & =-\sum_{a \text { odd, } b \text { odd, } b>1} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a-1} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c+1}, \\
e_{3 H} & =-\sum_{a \text { odd }} e_{3}^{a 1 c} z_{1}^{a-1} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{c+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (3.11) becomes
$0=e_{3 A}+e_{3 F}+e_{3 G}+e_{3 H}+e_{3 C}+e_{3 D}+e_{3 E}+p_{3}-2 \bar{z}_{1}\left(\overline{p_{1 A}}+\overline{p_{1 B}}+\overline{p_{1 C}}+\overline{p_{1 D}}\right)$,
and the only terms with monomials of the form $z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}$, with $a$ even, are $e_{3 E}$ and the known quantity $\bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1 A}}$, so we collect these together and get three new expressions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{3 E}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1 A}} & =\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0} f_{3}^{a} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1} \\
& =\left(\left(1+\frac{x_{2}}{z_{1}}\right)-\left(1+\frac{x_{2}}{z_{1}}\right) \frac{x_{2}}{z_{1}}+\left(\frac{x_{2}}{z_{1}}\right)^{2}\right)\left(e_{3 E}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1 A}}\right) \\
& =f_{3 A}+f_{3 B}+f_{3 C}, \\
f_{3 A} & =\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0} f_{3}^{a} z_{1}^{a-1}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1} \\
f_{3 B} & =-\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0} f_{3}^{a} z_{1}^{a-2}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1} x_{2} \\
f_{3 C} & =\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0} f_{3}^{a} z_{1}^{a-2} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (3.11) becomes
$0=e_{3 A}+e_{3 F}+e_{3 G}+e_{3 H}+e_{3 C}+e_{3 D}+f_{3 A}+f_{3 B}+f_{3 C}+p_{3}-2 \bar{z}_{1}\left(\overline{p_{1 B}}+\overline{p_{1 C}}+\overline{p_{1 D}}\right)$.
The terms with monomials of the form $z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}$ with $a$ even, $b$ odd, and $b>1$ are $e_{3 G}, e_{3 C}$, and $\bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1 B}}$, and the terms with monomials of the form $z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{c}$ with $a$ even and $c>0$ are $e_{3 H}, e_{3 D}, f_{3 C}$, and $z_{1} \overline{p_{1 C}}$, giving

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & e_{3 G}+e_{3 C}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1 B}} \\
= & -\sum_{a \text { odd, } b \text { odd, } b>1} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a-1} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c+1}+\sum_{a \text { even, } b \text { odd, } b>1} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
& -2 \bar{z}_{1} \sum_{\alpha>0, \alpha \text { even }} p_{1 B}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma} \\
0= & e_{3 H}+e_{3 D}+f_{3 C}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1 C}} \\
= & -\sum_{a \text { odd }} e_{3}^{a 1 c} z_{1}^{a-1} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{c+1}+\sum_{a \text { even, } c>0} e_{3}^{a 1 c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{c} \\
& +\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0} f_{3}^{a} z_{1}^{a-2} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{2}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \sum_{\beta>0} p_{1 C}^{\beta \gamma} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

This determines the coefficients of $p_{1 B}$ and $p_{1 C}$, again using the convention that $e_{3}^{a b c}=0$ if $c<0$, and that the following formulas apply only to terms that appear in the normalization (3.9):

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1 B}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} & =\frac{1}{2} \overline{e_{3}^{2 \gamma, \alpha+1, \beta}}-\frac{1}{2} \overline{e_{3}^{2 \gamma+1, \alpha+1, \beta-1}} \\
p_{1 C}^{\beta \gamma} & = \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \overline{e_{3}^{2 \gamma, 1, \beta}}-\frac{1}{2} \overline{e_{3}^{2 \gamma+1,1, \beta-1}} & \text { if } \beta \neq 2, \\
\frac{1}{2} \overline{e_{3}^{2 \gamma, 1,2}}-\frac{1}{2} \overline{e_{3}^{2 \gamma+1,1,1}}+\frac{1}{2} \overline{f_{3}^{2 \gamma+2}} & \text { if } \beta=2 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Returning to Equation (3.10),
$0=f_{4 A}+f_{4 B}+f_{4 C}+f_{4 D}+f_{4 E}+p_{4}-\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \overline{p_{1}}-\bar{z}_{1}\left(p_{1 A}+p_{1 B}+p_{1 C}+p_{1 D}\right)$,
the only terms with monomials of the form $z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}$, with $a$ even and positive, and $b$ odd, are $f_{4 C}$ and $\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 B}$, and the only terms with monomials of the form $\bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}$,
with $b$ odd and $c$ positive, are $f_{4 D}$ and $\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 C}$. So, we collect these together and rearrange:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{4 C}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 B} & =\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0, b \text { odd }} g_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
& =\left(1+\frac{x_{2}}{z_{1}}\right)\left(f_{4 C}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 B}\right)-\frac{x_{2}}{z_{1}}\left(f_{4 C}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 B}\right)=g_{4 A}+g_{4 B} \\
g_{4 A} & =\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0, b \text { odd }} g_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a-1}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
g_{4 B} & =-\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0, b \text { odd }} g_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a-1} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c+1}, \\
f_{4 D}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 C}= & \sum_{c>0, b \text { odd }} g_{4}^{0 b c} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c} \\
= & \left(1+\frac{z_{1}}{x_{2}}\right)\left(f_{4 D}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 C}\right)-\frac{z_{1}}{x_{2}}\left(f_{4 D}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 C}\right)=g_{4 C}+g_{4 D}, \\
g_{4 C}= & \sum_{c>0, b \text { odd }} g_{4}^{0 b c} \bar{z}_{1}^{b}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) x_{2}^{c-1} \\
g_{4 D}= & -\sum_{c>0, b \text { odd }} g_{4}^{0 b c} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the last rearrangement is different from the previous ones. Equation (3.10) then becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & f_{4 A}+f_{4 B}+g_{4 A}+g_{4 B}+g_{4 C}+g_{4 D}+f_{4 E}+p_{4 A}+p_{4 B} \\
& -\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right)\left(\overline{p_{1 A}}+\overline{p_{1 B}}+\overline{p_{1 C}}+\overline{p_{1 D}}\right)-\bar{z}_{1}\left(p_{1 A}+p_{1 D}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The only terms with monomials of the form $z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}$, with $a$ and $b$ both odd, are $f_{4 B}, g_{4 B}, g_{4 D}$, and $\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 D}$, and collecting these terms gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & f_{4 B}+g_{4 B}+g_{4 D}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 D} \\
= & \sum_{a \text { odd, } b \text { odd }} f_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}-\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0, b \text { odd }} g_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a-1} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c+1} \\
& -\sum_{c>0, b \text { odd }} g_{4}^{0 b c} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c-1}-\sum_{\alpha \text { odd }} p_{1 D}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which determines $p_{1 D}$ :

$$
p_{1 D}^{\alpha \beta \gamma}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
f_{4}^{\alpha, 2 \gamma+1, \beta}-g_{4}^{\alpha+1,2 \gamma+1, \beta-1} & \text { if } \alpha>1 \\
f_{4}^{1,2 \gamma+1, \beta}-g_{4}^{2,2 \gamma+1, \beta-1}-g_{4}^{0,2 \gamma+1, \beta+1} & \text { if } \alpha=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The only remaining unknowns in this equation are $p_{4 A}$ and $p_{4 B}$. The terms involving monomials of the form $z_{1}^{a} x_{2}^{b}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}^{b}$, with $b$ odd, are $g_{4 A}, g_{4 C}$, the known quantity $\left(z_{1}+x\right) \overline{p_{1 D}}$, and $p_{4 B}$, and collecting these terms gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & g_{4 A}+g_{4 C}-\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \overline{p_{1 D}}+p_{4 B} \\
= & \sum_{a \text { even, }} g_{4>0, b \text { odd }}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a-1}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}+\sum_{c>0, b \text { odd }} g_{4}^{0 b c} \bar{z}_{1}^{b}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) x_{2}^{c-1} \\
& -\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \sum_{\alpha \text { odd }} \overline{p_{1 D}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}}+\sum p_{4 B}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which determines $p_{4 B}$. The only terms left are those with even powers of $\bar{z}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & f_{4 A}+p_{4 A}-\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right)\left(\overline{p_{1 A}}+\overline{p_{1 B}}+\overline{p_{1 C}}\right) \\
= & \sum_{b \text { even }} f_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}+\sum p_{4 A}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma} \\
& -\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right)\left(\overline{\sum p_{1 A}^{\gamma} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}}+\overline{\sum_{\alpha>0, \alpha \text { even }} p_{1 B}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}}+\overline{\sum_{\beta>0} p_{1 C}^{\beta \gamma} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which determines $p_{4 A}$.
Similarly for (3.11), the only remaining unknowns are $p_{3 A}$ and $p_{3 B}$. The terms involving monomials of the form $z_{1}^{a} x_{2}^{b}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}^{b}$, with $b$ odd, are $e_{3 F}, f_{3 A}, f_{3 B}$, and $p_{3 B}$, and collecting these terms gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & e_{3 F}+f_{3 A}+f_{3 B}+p_{3 B} \\
= & \sum_{a \text { odd, } b \text { odd }} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a-1}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}+\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0} f_{3}^{a} z_{1}^{a-1}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1} \\
& -\sum_{a \text { even, } a>0} f_{3}^{a} z_{1}^{a-2}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}+\sum p_{3 B}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}\left(z_{1}+x_{2}\right) \bar{z}_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which determines $p_{3 B}$. The only terms left are those with even powers of $\bar{z}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =e_{3 A}+p_{3 A}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1 D}} \\
& =\sum_{b \text { even }} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}+\sum p_{3 A}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{\sum_{\alpha \text { odd }} p_{1 D}^{\alpha \beta \gamma} z_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 \gamma}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which determines $p_{3 A}$.
The conclusion is that there exist formal series $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ that solve the system (3.6), for any given $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$, and that the coefficients of the solutions are complex linear combinations of the coefficients of $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ and their complex conjugates. As remarked at the beginning of the Proof, since the linear system has a formal solution, the non-linear system (3.5) has a formal solution also.

Conjecture 3.2. Given $M$ with the non-degenerate quadratic normal form (type (I), as in (3.1)), there exist convergent series $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ which are solutions of the system of equations (3.5), so that $M$ is analytically equivalent to the polynomial model.

Remark. As evidence in favor of the conjecture, note that among all the algebraic manipulations of the $\vec{e}$ series in the above Proof, including the decompositions into subseries, none drastically shrinks the radius of convergence. The form of the solution $\vec{p}$ of the linear problem suggests that its domain contains some polydisc in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ with radius lengths that depend on the radius lengths of the polydisc of convergence of the given quantity $\vec{e}$, in such a way that the new defining equations in the transformed coordinates will have a domain not much smaller than the domain of the original equations (after applying a suitable version of Lemma 2.3). When similar situations have arisen in other normal form problems ( $[\mathrm{M}],\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ ), the technique of rapid convergence has been used to prove that the sequence of compositions of approximate solutions converges to a holomorphic normalizing transformation on an open neighborhood of $\overrightarrow{0}$.

## 4. Some degenerate cases

In this Section we consider $M$ satisfying the first non-degeneracy condition but not the second, so after a coordinate transformation putting the quadratic terms into normal form (II), the defining equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2} & =E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
z_{3} & =\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
z_{4} & =z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ have degree 3 . This differs from the non-degenerate case only in that it is missing a $\bar{z}_{1} x_{2}$ term.
Theorem 4.1. Given a manifold $M$ with the type (II) normal form, exactly one of the following two cases must hold:
(1) There exists a unique integer $N \geq 3$ and a holomorphic coordinate change such that the defining equations in the new coordinates are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}=O(N+1) \\
& z_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+O(N+1) \\
& z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+O(N+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) For any integer $n \geq 2$, there exists a holomorphic coordinate change such that the defining equations in the new coordinates are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}=O(n+1) \\
& z_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+O(n+1) \\
& z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+O(n+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

In case (2), $M$ is formally equivalent to the real algebraic variety $\left\{y_{2}=0, z_{3}=\right.$ $\left.\bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right\}$. It could be denoted the " $N=\infty$ " case.

Proof. By hypothesis, there is some $N \geq 3$ and some coordinate system where the defining equations for $M$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2} & =E_{2} \\
z_{3} & =\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3} \\
z_{4} & =z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ has degree $N$. A coordinate transformation of the form (2.3), where $p_{1}$ has weight $N-1$, and $p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ have weight $N$, gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)= & \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}+p_{2}\right)=E_{2}+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)\right) \\
= & E_{2}+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)\right)+O(2 N-1) \\
\tilde{z}_{3}-\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}^{2}= & e_{3}+p_{3}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \bar{p}_{1}-\bar{p}_{1}^{2} \\
= & e_{3}+p_{3}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)}+O(2 N-2) \\
\tilde{z}_{4}-\tilde{z}_{1} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}= & e_{4}+p_{4}-z_{1} \bar{p}_{1}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1}-p_{1} \bar{p}_{1} \\
= & e_{4}+p_{4}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right) \\
& -z_{1} \overline{p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)}+O(2 N-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Any term in $E_{2}$ of the form $z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}$ with $a>b$ can be eliminated by some term of $p_{2}$ of the form $z_{1}^{a} z_{2}^{c} z_{3}^{b / 2}$ if $b$ is even, or $z_{1}^{a-1} z_{2}^{c} z_{3}^{(b-1) / 2} z_{4}$ if $b$ is odd. Since $E_{2}$
is real-valued, the $a>b$ terms are matched by their complex conjugates, which are canceled by $\overline{p_{2}}$. Similarly, the $z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{a} x_{2}^{c}$ terms, with real coefficients, can also be eliminated by $p_{2}$ terms with $a$ either even or odd.

Consider $p_{1}$ of the form $p_{1 A}+p_{1 B}$ with $p_{1 A}=\sum p_{1 A}^{j k} z_{1}^{2 j} z_{2}^{k}, p_{1 B}=\sum p_{1 B}^{j k} z_{2}^{k} z_{3}^{j>1}$. The degree $N$ terms of $e_{3}$ that have the form $\bar{z}_{1}^{\text {odd }} x_{2}^{k}$ can be eliminated by $p_{1 A}$ terms of the form $z_{1}^{\text {odd }-1} z_{2}^{k}$. Then the degree $N$ terms of $e_{4}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 A}$ that have the form $\bar{z}_{1}^{\text {odd }} x_{2}^{k}$ can be eliminated by $p_{1 B}$ terms of the form $z_{2}^{k} z_{4}^{(o d d-1) / 2}$, except for the $\bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{N-1}$ term, since that component of $p_{1}$ was already used, and the remaining terms of $e_{4}-z_{1} \overline{p_{1}}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 A}$ can be eliminated by $p_{4}$. The remaining terms of $e_{3}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1 B}}$, none of which are of the form $\bar{z}_{1}^{\text {odd }} x_{2}^{k}$, can be eliminated by $p_{3}$. A linear transformation of the form $\tilde{z}_{1}=c_{1} z_{1}, \tilde{z}_{2}=z_{2}, \tilde{z}_{3}={\overline{c_{1}}}^{2} z_{3}, \tilde{z}_{4}=c_{1} \overline{c_{1}} z_{4}$, will not alter the coefficients on the quadratic terms, but can normalize the coefficient of $\bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{N-1}$ in $e_{4}$ to either 1, in which case the existence of $N$ in Case (1) is established, or else 0 , in which case we return to the beginning of the Proof with $N$ increased by one, to establish either Case (1) for some larger $N$, or Case (2) by induction.

For the uniqueness from Case (1), suppose the defining equations are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2} & =E_{2} \\
z_{3} & =\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3} \\
z_{4} & =z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ has degree $N+1$. A coordinate transformation of the form (2.3), with $p_{1}$ weight $2, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ weight 3 , gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}+p_{2}\right) \\
& =E_{2}+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)\right) \\
& =E_{2}+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)\right)+O(N+1) \\
\tilde{z}_{3}-\bar{z}_{1}^{2}= & e_{3}+p_{3}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \bar{p}_{1}-\bar{p}_{1}^{2} \\
= & e_{3}+p_{3}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)}-\bar{p}_{1}^{2}+O(N+1) \\
\tilde{z}_{4}-\tilde{z}_{1} \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}= & x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}+p_{4}-z_{1} \overline{p_{1}}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1}-p_{1} \bar{p}_{1} \\
= & x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}+p_{4}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right) \\
& -z_{1} \overline{p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)}-p_{1} \overline{p_{1}}+O(N+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If there were some coordinate change taking the degree $N$ normal form to the normal form of some other degree $N^{\prime}$, we can assume (by switching) that $N^{\prime}>N$, so the coordinate change would eliminate all the terms of degree $\leq N$, including the $\bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{N-1}$ term, from (4.2). Note that to get to (4.2) from the line before it, we are replacing $p_{k}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)$ by $p_{k}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)$, but even when $p_{k}$ has weight less than $N$, the difference between these quantities has degree at least $N+1$. The previous part of the Proof showed that the $z_{2}^{k}$ term of $p_{1}$ is the only term out of $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ that can eliminate a $\bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{N-1}$ term from $e_{3}$ or $e_{4}$ from the simplified equations, and it cannot always do both. However, to establish uniqueness, we must consider the non-linear components also - there is a possibility that some lower weight terms of $\vec{p}$ could contribute to the $\bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{N-1}$ term. Considering the products $\bar{p}_{1}^{2}$, and $p_{1} \overline{p_{1}}$, the only way a term of the form $\bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{N-1}$ could appear is if there were terms $p_{1}^{a} z_{2}^{a}$ and $p_{1}^{b} z_{1} z_{2}^{b}$, with $a+b=N-1$. However, a non-zero coefficient on the $p_{1}^{a} z_{2}^{a}$ term would contribute a term of the form $\bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{a}$
for some $a<N-1$ to both the $e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$ expressions, which could not be canceled by any of the other terms from any $p_{k}$.

It follows from the above Proof that for manifolds with a type (II) quadratic normal form, the value of $N, 3 \leq N \leq \infty$, is an invariant of $M$ under formal coordinate changes, and each equivalence class indexed by $3 \leq N \leq \infty$ is obviously non-empty, with a polynomial model as a representative manifold.
Theorem 4.2. Given $N \geq 3$, suppose a manifold $M$ is in the normal form from Case (1) of Theorem 4.1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}=O(N+1) \\
& z_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+O(N+1) \\
& z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+O(N+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for any $n \geq N$, there exists a holomorphic coordinate change such that the defining equations in the new coordinates are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}=O(n+1) \\
& z_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+O(n+1) \\
& z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+O(n+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $M$ is formally equivalent to the real algebraic variety $\left\{y_{2}=0, z_{3}=\right.$ $\left.\bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}\right\}$.

Proof. The $n=N$ case is trivial and also the start of an induction: we will show that if the defining equations are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}=E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& z_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left(E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ degree $n>N$, then there exists a transformation of the form (2.3) which eliminates the degree $n$ terms, so that the ( $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ ) expression in the new coordinates has degree at least $n+1$.

For points $\vec{z}=\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1}\right) \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)$ on $M$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}+p_{2}(\vec{z})\right) \\
& =E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}(\vec{z})\right) \\
\tilde{z}_{3}-\bar{z}_{1}^{2} & =z_{3}+p_{3}(\vec{z})-{\overline{\left(z_{1}+p_{1}(\vec{z})\right)}}^{2} \\
& =e_{3}+p_{3}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \bar{p}_{1}-\bar{p}_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \tilde{z}_{4}-\left(\tilde{z}_{1}+\tilde{x}_{2}^{N-1}\right) \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \\
&= z_{4}+p_{4}(\vec{z})-\left(z_{1}+p_{1}(\vec{z})+\left(x_{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}(\vec{z})\right)\right)^{N-1}\right) \overline{\left(z_{1}+p_{1}(\vec{z})\right)} \\
&=e_{4}+p_{4}-\left(z_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1}\right) \overline{p_{1}}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1}-\bar{z}_{1}\left(\left(x_{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}\right)\right)^{N-1}-x_{2}^{N-1}\right) \\
&-p_{1} \overline{p_{1}}-\left(\left(x_{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}\right)\right)^{N-1}-x_{2}^{N-1}\right) \overline{p_{1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since we only want to eliminate the degree $n$ terms, we will be able to ignore any expressions of degree $\geq n+1$. However, the inhomogeneity of the "normal form" part of the equations makes this more complicated than the situation from Section 3. In particular, we will need some of the terms of $\vec{p}$ to have weight less than $n$.

To start, we make the following choices for the form of the coordinate transformation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)= & p_{1 A} z_{2}^{n-1}+p_{1 B}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)+p_{1 C} z_{1} z_{2}^{n-N}+p_{1 D}\left(z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \\
p_{1 B}= & \sum_{k+2 j=n-1, j>0} p_{1 B}^{j k} z_{1}^{2 j} z_{2}^{k} \\
p_{1 D}= & \sum_{k+2 j=n-1, j>0} p_{1 D}^{k j} z_{2}^{k} z_{3}^{j} \\
p_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)= & p_{2 A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)+z_{4} p_{2 B}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \\
p_{3}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)= & p_{3 A} z_{2}^{n-N} z_{3}+p_{3 B} z_{2}^{2(n-N)} z_{3} \\
& +p_{3 C}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)+z_{4} p_{3 D}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \\
p_{4}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)= & p_{4 A} z_{2}^{n-N} z_{4}+p_{4 B} z_{2}^{2(n-N)} z_{4} \\
& +p_{4 C}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)+z_{4} p_{4 D}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The weight of $p_{1 C}$ is $n-N+1$, which is in the interval [ $2, n-2$ ], and the weights of $p_{3 A}$ and $p_{4 A}$ are one higher, $n-N+2$. The weights of $p_{3 B}$ and $p_{4 B}$ are each $2(n-N+1)$, which is in the interval $[4,2 n-4]$. The $p_{1 A}, p_{1 B}, p_{1 D}$ parts of $p_{1}$ have weight $n-1$, and the $p_{2}, p_{3 C}+z_{4} p_{3 D}$ and $p_{4 C}+z_{4} p_{4 D}$ quantities are chosen to have weight $n$. If the weight, $2(n-N+1)$, of $p_{3 B}$ and $p_{4 B}$ is greater than $n$, these quantities can be ignored for the purposes of this Proof. If $2(n-N+1)=n$, then a $z_{2}^{2(n-N)} z_{3}$ term could be included in the $p_{3 C}$ expression and a $z_{2}^{2(n-N)} z_{4}$ in the $z_{4} p_{3 D}$ expression, so there is again no need to consider separately the $p_{3 B}$ and $p_{4 B}$ terms.

Considering the lowest weight quantity, the difference between $p_{1 C} z_{1}\left(x_{2}+i E_{2}\right)^{n-N}$ and $p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}$ has degree $(n-N+1)-1+n=2 n-N \geq n+1$. Similarly, the differences $p_{3 A}\left(x_{2}+i E_{2}\right)^{n-N}\left(\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+e_{3}\right)-p_{3 A} x_{2}^{n-N} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}$ and $p_{4 A}\left(x_{2}+i E_{2}\right)^{n-N}\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\right.$ $\left.x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)-p_{4 A} x_{2}^{n-N}\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)$ have degree $(n-N+2)-2+n=2 n-N \geq$ $n+1$. The $E_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ quantities similarly contribute only high degree terms to the expressions with higher weights, so in (4.3) and (4.4), we can replace $\vec{z}$ with $\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right)$, and include the differences in a " $+O(n+1)$ " quantity.

The quantity $\left(\left(x_{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{2}(\vec{z})\right)\right)^{N-1}-x^{N-1}\right)$ from (4.4) has degree $N-2+n \geq n+1$, so the terms with that as a factor can also be included in the $O(n+1)$ quantity.
 less is ${\overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}}^{2}$ and similarly, the only term of

$$
p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{3}\right) \overline{p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{3}\right)}
$$

that might have degree $n$ or less is $\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right) \overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}$. All the other parts of the products have higher degree, such as $\overline{p_{1 A} p_{1 C}}$, which has degree $(n-1)+(n-$ $N+1)=2 n-N \geq n+1$. There is also a term $x_{2}^{N-1} \overline{p_{1}(\vec{z})}$ in (4.4), and the part that has the form

$$
x_{2}^{N-1} \overline{\left(p_{1 A}\left(x_{2}+i E_{2}\right)^{n-1}+p_{1 B}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}+i E_{2}\right)+p_{1 D}\left(x_{2}+i E_{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{3}\right)\right)}
$$

has degree $N-1+n-1 \geq n+1$. So, (4.3) and (4.4) simplify to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{z}_{3}-\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}^{2}=e_{3}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+p_{3}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right) \\
& -2 \bar{z}_{1}{\overline{p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}\right)}-{\overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}}^{2}+O(n+1), ~}_{\text {, }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{z}_{4}-\left(\tilde{z}_{1}+\tilde{x}_{2}^{N-1}\right) \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}= & e_{4}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+p_{4}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2},\left(z_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1}\right) \bar{z}_{1}\right) \\
& -z_{1} p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}\right)-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& -x_{2}^{N-1} \overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)} \\
& -\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right) \overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}+O(n+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

When substituted into the weight $n$ expressions $z_{4} p_{2 B}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$, $z_{4} p_{3 D}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$, and $z_{4} p_{4 D}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$, the quantity $z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}$ can be replaced with $z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}$, since the difference has degree $n-2+N \geq n+1$. Similarly, $p_{4 B}\left(x_{2}+\right.$ $\left.i E_{2}\right)^{2(n-N)}\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)$ differs from $p_{4 B} x_{2}^{2(n-N)} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}$ only by a quantity with degree $n+1$. However, in the weight $n-N+2$ expression $p_{4 A}\left(x_{2}+i E_{2}\right)^{n-N}\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\right.$ $x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}$ ), the $x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}$ part does contribute a degree $n$ term, so we can't replace $z_{4}$ with just $z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}$, but the difference $p_{4 A}\left(x_{2}+i E_{2}\right)^{n-N}\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}+e_{4}\right)-$ $p_{4 A} x_{2}^{n-N}\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)$ has degree $2 n-N \geq n+1$.

Finally, breaking $e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$ into convenient subseries, we get the simplified (but still non-linear if $2(n-N)+2 \leq n)$ expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)=E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)\right)+O(n+1), \\
& \tilde{z}_{3}-\overline{\tilde{z}}_{1}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{b \text { even }} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}+p_{3 C}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& +\sum_{b \text { odd, } a>0} e_{3}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{\sum_{j>0} p_{1 D}^{k j} x_{2}^{k} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 j}}+z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} p_{3 D}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& +\sum_{b \text { odd, } b>1} e_{3}^{0 b c} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{\sum_{j>0} p_{1 B}^{j k} z_{1}^{2 j} x_{2}^{k}}  \tag{4.7}\\
& +e_{3}^{0,1, n-1} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{n-1}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{\left(p_{1 A} x_{2}^{n-1}\right)}  \tag{4.8}\\
& +p_{3 A} x_{2}^{n-N} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}-2 \bar{z}_{1} \overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}  \tag{4.9}\\
& +p_{3 B} x_{2}^{2(n-N)} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}-{\overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}}^{2}+O(n+1),  \tag{4.10}\\
& \tilde{z}_{4}-\left(\tilde{z}_{1}+\tilde{x}_{2}^{N-1}\right) \overline{\tilde{z}}_{1} \\
& =\sum_{b \text { even }} e_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}-z_{1} \overline{\left(p_{1 A} x_{2}^{n-1}\right)}-z_{1} \overline{\sum_{j>0} p_{1 B}^{j k} z_{1}^{2 j} x_{2}^{k}} \\
& -z_{1} \overline{\sum_{j>0} p_{1 D}^{k j} x_{2}^{k} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 j}}+p_{4 C}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
& +\sum_{b \text { odd, } a>0} e_{4}^{a b c} z_{1}^{a} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}-\bar{z}_{1} \sum_{j>0} p_{1 B}^{j k} z_{1}^{2 j} x_{2}^{k}+z_{1} \bar{z}_{1} p_{4 D}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}\right)  \tag{4.12}\\
& +\sum_{b \text { odd, } b>1} e_{4}^{0 b c} \bar{z}_{1}^{b} x_{2}^{c}-\bar{z}_{1} \sum_{j>0} p_{1 D}^{k j} x_{2}^{k} \bar{z}_{1}^{2 j}  \tag{4.13}\\
& +e_{4}^{0,1, n-1} \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{n-1}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 A} x_{2}^{n-1}-x_{2}^{N-1} \overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}+p_{4 A} x_{2}^{n-N} x_{2}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{1}  \tag{4.14}\\
& +p_{4 A} x_{2}^{n-N} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}-\bar{z}_{1} p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}-z_{1} \overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}  \tag{4.15}\\
& +p_{4 B} x_{2}^{2(n-N)} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}-\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right) \overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}+O(n+1), \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

We note first that it is easy to find $p_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ such that in a coordinate system where $\tilde{z}_{2}=z_{2}+p_{2}, \operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)=O(n+1)$. As in the Proof of Theorem 4.1, any (formally) real-valued series $E_{2}\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ can be canceled by an expression of the form $\operatorname{Im}\left(p_{2}\left(z_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)\right)$.

The other two expressions above have been grouped together to see how to choose the coefficients of $\vec{p}$. So that there are no terms of degree $<n$, we have to choose $p_{3 A}=2 \overline{p_{1 C}}$ to eliminate line (4.9) and $p_{4 A}=p_{1 C}+\overline{p_{1 C}}$ for line (4.15). If $2 n-2 N+2<n$, we have to choose $p_{3 B}={\overline{p_{1 C}}}^{2}$ to eliminate line (4.10) and $p_{4 B}=p_{1 C} \overline{p_{1 C}}$ for line (4.16). If $2 n-2 N+2>n$, the quadratic quantities can be put with the $O(n+1)$ (as previously mentioned, there is no need for $p_{3 B}, p_{4 B}$ ). If $2 n-2 N+2=n$, then ${\left.\overline{\left(p_{1 C}\right.} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}$ has the same form as the terms in (4.5) and $\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right) \overline{\left(p_{1 C} z_{1} x_{2}^{n-N}\right)}$ has the same form as the terms in (4.12).

To cancel the degree $n$ terms in (4.8), $p_{1 A}=\frac{1}{2} \overline{e_{3}^{0,1, n-1}}$, and $p_{1 B}$ is similarly determined by (4.7). The choices for $p_{1 A}$ and $p_{4 A}$ turn (4.14) into

$$
\left(e_{4}^{0,1, n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \overline{e_{3}^{0,1, n-1}}-\overline{p_{1 C}}+\left(p_{1 C}+\overline{p_{1 C}}\right)\right) \bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{n-1}
$$

so $p_{1 C}=\frac{1}{2} \overline{e_{3}^{0,1, n-1}}-e_{4}^{0,1, n-1}$. Line (4.13) determines $p_{1 D}$, and then the remaining lines, (4.5), (4.6), (4.11), (4.12) (including the quadratic terms if $2 n-2 N+2=n$ ) can clearly be eliminated by some $p_{3 C}, p_{3 D}, p_{4 C}, p_{4 D}$.

Remark. In the $N \geq 3$ cases, no conjecture is offered on the analytic equivalence of $M$ and the real algebraic model. Unlike the approximate solution from $N=2$ case of the previous Section, which nearly doubled the degree of the defining equations $\vec{e}$, the above construction only increases the degree of the defining equation by one. The construction does not seem to be as well-suited as that of the $N=2$ case for a straightforward application of the rapid convergence technique.

## 5. Conclusion

For $N \geq 2$, let $M_{N}$ denote the following real algebraic affine subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ :

$$
M_{N}=\left\{y_{2}=0, z_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{N-1}\right\}
$$

Note that $M_{N}$ is totally real at every point except the origin, where there is a CR singularity with type (I) if $N=2$, or type (II) if $N>2$. Similarly, denote

$$
M_{\infty}=\left\{y_{2}=0, z_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right\}
$$

This variety has the structure of a product $S \times \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathbb{C}$, where $S$ is the CR singular real surface $\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right): z_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2}, z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{3}$, considered in [C $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ]. $M_{\infty}$ has a CR singularity of type (II) at every point on the real line $\left\{\left(0, x_{2}, 0,0\right)\right\}$, and is totally real at points not on the line.

The results of Sections 2, 3, 4 can be summarized as follows.
Proposition 5.1. Given a real analytic threefold $M$ in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ with a $C R$ singularity at $\overrightarrow{0}$, exactly one of the following cases holds:
(1) There exists a unique integer $N \geq 2$ such that for any $n \geq N$, there exists a biholomorphic coordinate transformation of a neighborhood of $\overrightarrow{0}$, so that
in the new coordinate system, the defining equations of $M$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}=O(n+1) \\
& z_{3}=\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+O(n+1) \\
& z_{4}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\bar{z}_{1} x_{2}^{N-1}+O(n+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

so $M$ is formally equivalent to the real algebraic model $M_{N}$, or,
(2) For any $n \geq 3$, there exists a biholomorphic coordinate transformation of a neighborhood of $\overrightarrow{0}$, so that in the new coordinate system, the defining equations of $M$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2} & =O(n+1) \\
z_{3} & =\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+O(n+1) \\
z_{4} & =z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+O(n+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

so $M$ is formally equivalent to the real algebraic model $M_{\infty}$, or,
(3) There exists a biholomorphic coordinate transformation of a neighborhood of $\overrightarrow{0}$, so that in the new coordinate system, the defining equations of $M$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}=Q_{2}+O(3) \\
& z_{3}=q_{3}+O(3) \\
& z_{4}=q_{4}+O(3)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(Q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)$ is one of the types (III)-(XII) from Section 2.

## References

[BER] M. S. Baouendi, P. Ebenfelt, and L. Preiss Rothschild, Local geometric properties of real submanifolds in complex space, Bull. AMS (N.S.) (3) $\mathbf{3 7}$ (2000), 309-336. MR 1754643 (2001a:32043), Zbl 0955.32027.
[Beloshapka] V. K. Beloshapka, The normal form of germs of four-dimensional real submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}^{5}$ at generic $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C}$-singular points, Mat. Zametki (6) 61 (1997), 931-934; translation in Math. Notes (5-6) 61 (1997), 777-779. MR 1629829 (99f:32012), Zbl 0917.32015.
[Bishop] E. Bishop, Differentiable manifolds in complex Euclidean space, Duke Math. J. (1) 32 (1965), 1-21. MR 0200476 (34 \#369), Zbl 0154.08501.
$\left[\mathrm{C}_{1}\right] \quad$ A. Coffman, Enumeration and Normal Forms of Singularities in Cauchy-Riemann Structures, dissertation, University of Chicago, 1997.
$\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right] \quad$ A. Coffman, Analytic normal form for $C R$ singular surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, Houston J. Math (4) $\mathbf{3 0}$ (2004), 969-996. MR2110245, Zbl pre02138214.
[C3] A. Coffman, Real equivalence of complex matrix pencils and complex projections of real Segre varieties, Preprint. www.ipfw.edu/math/Coffman/
$\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\right] \quad$ G. Harris, Geometry near a C.R. singularity, Illinois J. Math. (1) 25 (1981), 147158. MR 0602905 (83g:32020), Zbl 0438.32003.
$\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right] \quad$ G. Harris, Lowest order invariants for real-analytic surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, Trans. AMS (1) 288 (1985), 413-422. MR 0773068 (86g:32033), Zbl 0574.32029.
[M] J. Moser, Analytic surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and their local hull of holomorphy, Ann. Acad. Scient. Fenn., Ser. A. I. 10 (1985), 397-410. MR 0802502 (87c:32024), Zbl 0585.32007.
[W] S. Webster, The Euler and Pontrjagin numbers of an $n$-manifold in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, Comment. Math. Helv. 60 (1985), 193-216. MR0800003 (86m:32034), Zbl 0566.32015.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indiana University - Purdue University Fort Wayne, Fort Wayne, IN 46805-1499

E-mail address: CoffmanA@ipfw.edu


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32V40, 32S05.
    Key words and phrases. Normal form, CR singularity, real submanifold.

