

# SOME PROOFS ON AN INEQUALITY RELATED TO A THEOREM BY MALLIAVIN

{ EVANGELOS NASTAS } SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

MIDWESTERN CONFERENCE ON ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS, POSTER SESSION, OCTOBER 4-6, 2019, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, FORT WAYNE, IN, USA

## SYNOPSIS

This work is devoted to an inequality that's an estimated inversion formula related to the Cauchy integral of a distribution function on  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$ . It yields a theorem proven by Malliavin. Alternatives to the inequality are presented. The inequality (3) has been applied in spectral theory of differential and pseudo-differential operators and by extension to mathematical physics, notably quantum mechanics, e.g. atomic spectra, and more generally physics of vibrations.

## ESTIMATED RIESZ MEANS

Given a power asymptotics of the Cauchy integral of  $N(\lambda)$  along a certain parabola-like curve in  $\mathbb{C}$  that avoids  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , the asymptotics of the Riesz means as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$  can be recovered utilizing:

**Theorem 2 (Theorem 1)** *Let the function  $N(\lambda)$  be constant in a neighbourhood of  $\lambda_0$ . Then for any  $\alpha > 0$*

$$\left| N^{(\alpha)}(\lambda_0) - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{S(\zeta) \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{\lambda_0}\right)^{\alpha} d\zeta}{2\pi i} \right| \leq \left(\frac{\eta_0}{\lambda_0}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{\eta_0 |S(\zeta_0)|}{\alpha\pi}. \quad (5)$$

For  $\alpha < 1$  the factor  $(\alpha\pi)^{-1}$  in the RHS may be replaced by  $\sqrt{\pi^{-2} + 1/4}$ .<sup>a</sup>

The LHS vanishes if a closed contour of integration consisting of  $\Gamma$  and the segment  $[\zeta, \bar{\zeta}_0]$  is used. Indeed, since  $N(\lambda)$  is assumed constant in the vicinity of  $\lambda_0$ , one may change the order of integration:

$$\int_0^{\infty} dN(\lambda) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \zeta}{\lambda_0}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{d\zeta}{\lambda - \zeta}.$$

Henceforth the branch  $z^{\alpha} = \exp(\alpha \ln z)$  with  $-\pi < \text{Im} \ln z \leq \pi$  is assumed.

<sup>a</sup> A continuous dependence of the constant on  $\alpha$  can be realized by substituting the term  $1/4$  with  $(1 - \alpha^{1+\varepsilon})/4$ , with  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_0 \approx 1/16$ , calculated numerically.

## REMARK

In Theorems 1 and 2,  $N(\lambda)$  need not be continuous at  $\lambda_0$ . If  $\lambda_0$  is a discontinuity point of  $N(\lambda)$ , Theorem 1 remains valid without change, while in Theorem 2 the value  $N(\lambda_0)$  in the LHS can be replaced by any value between  $N(\lambda_0 - 0)$  and  $N(\lambda_0 + 0)$ .

## $N(\lambda)$ & ITS CAUCHY INTEGRAL

Let  $N(\lambda)$  be a nondecreasing function defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$  such that  $N(\lambda) = 0$  for small  $\lambda$  and

$$\int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{-1} dN(\lambda) < \infty. \quad (1)$$

The *Cauchy Integral* of  $N(\lambda)$  is defined as

$$S(\zeta) = \int_0^{\infty} (\lambda - \zeta)^{-1} dN(\lambda), \quad \zeta \notin \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (2)$$

## ⚡ ORDINARY CAUCHY INTEGRAL

If in lieu of (1) a weaker <sup>a</sup> condition with some integer  $q > 1$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{-q} dN(\lambda) < \infty \quad (6)$$

holds true, then the leading term of the asymptotics of  $N(\lambda)$  can be recovered by means of the next theorem from the behaviour of its *generalized Cauchy integral*

$$S_q(\zeta) = \int_0^{\infty} (\lambda - \zeta)^{-q} dN(\lambda), \quad \zeta \notin \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (7)$$

**Theorem 3 (Theorem 2)** *Let the function  $N(\lambda)$  satisfy (6) and be constant in a neighbourhood of  $\lambda_0$ . There exist constants  $C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{q-2}$  (which depend only on  $q$ ) such that*

$$\left| N(\lambda_0) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} S_q(\zeta) (\zeta - \lambda_0)^{q-1} d\zeta \right| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{q-2} C_m \eta_0^{q-1-m} \left| \int_{\Gamma} S_q(\zeta) (\lambda_0 - \zeta)^m d\zeta \right|. \quad (8)$$

<sup>a</sup>Inequality (6) is weaker than (1) because it is taken for granted that  $dN(\lambda) = 0$  near  $\lambda = 0$ .

## EPILOGUE

An estimated Riesz means of the distribution function from its Cauchy integral and another with power growth for which the ordinary Cauchy Integral is non-existent, wherein a power of the Cauchy kernel is utilized to yield the generalized Cauchy integral. A plethora of more applications in and beyond spectral theory are expected to realized.

## INEQUALITY: ESTIMATED INVERSION $\rightarrow$ CAUCHY INTEGRAL

Fix a point  $\zeta_0 = \lambda_0 + i\eta_0$  in the first quadrant of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Denote by  $\Gamma$  a contour that connects the point  $\zeta_0$  to  $\bar{\zeta}_0 = \lambda_0 - i\eta_0$  and does not cross the integration path  $\mathbb{R}_+$  of (2).<sup>a</sup>

The inequality related to a theorem by Malliavin is

$$\left| N(\lambda_0) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} S(\zeta) d\zeta \right| \leq \eta_0 \sqrt{1 + \pi^{-2}} |S(\zeta_0)| \quad (3)$$

and employed it to provide a brief proof of Malliavin's Tauberian theorem. For  $\alpha > 0$ , the *Riesz mean of order  $\alpha$*  of  $N(\lambda)$  is

$$N^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) = \int_0^{\lambda} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} dN(x), \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (4)$$

<sup>a</sup>There exists an alternative convention according to which the Cauchy Integral of  $f(t)$  is defined as  $\int_0^{\infty} (\zeta + t)^{-1} f(t) dt$ .

## ⊃ NON-NEGATIVE CONSTANTS

**Theorem 1 (Theorem 3)** *Let function  $N(\lambda)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  be nondecreasing, equal zero near  $\lambda = 0$ , and satisfy condition (6). For any  $\alpha > 0$  and any integer  $q = 2, 3, \dots$  there exist nonnegative constants  $C_0, \dots, C_{q-2}$ , depending on  $q$  and  $\alpha$ , such that for any  $\lambda > 0$*

$$\left| N^{\alpha}(\lambda_0) - \frac{\alpha B(q, \alpha)}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} S_q(\zeta) (\zeta - \lambda_0)^{q-1} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{\lambda_0}\right)^{\alpha} d\zeta \right| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{q-2} C_m \left(\frac{\eta_0}{\lambda_0}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \eta_0^{q-1-m} \left| \int_{\Gamma} S_q(\zeta) (\zeta - \lambda_0)^m d\zeta \right|,$$

where

$$B(q, \alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(q)\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(q + \alpha)}.$$

Its proof mimics that of Theorem 2 by substituting  $T_{q,q-1}(\mu)$  with

$$T_{q,q-1+\alpha}(\mu) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\tau^{q-1+\alpha}}{(\mu - i\tau)^q} d\tau.$$

This theorem is connected to Theorem 2, as Theorem 1 to the inequality.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**Email** enastas@syr.edu